Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 04, Issue: 06 (NOV-DEC, 2024)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com

Biofortification in Horticultural Crops

(*Richa Sharma, Dr. Shilpa Rana, Sonu Dhakar, Shivani Thakur, Prashant Baranwal and Yukta Jaswal)
Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, India
*Corresponding Author's email: 02rssharma@gmail.com

Biofortification is an innovative agricultural approach focused on improving quality of horticultural crops by increasing their levels of essential vitamins and minerals. This article reviews recent development in biofortification methods, including genetic modification, traditional breeding, and agronomic practices, emphasizing their effectiveness in enhancing nutrient density. We examine how biofortified crops positively public health, particularly in addressing widespread micronutrient deficiencies in various regions. Additionally, we discuss the challenges related to consumer acceptance, regulatory framework, and sustainability. Through case studies that showcase successful applications of biofortification, we highlight its potential as a practical solution for enhancing food security and nutrition worldwide. Ultimately, this article advocates for increased research and investment in biofortification, recognizing its importance in the field of horticultural science and its capacity to improve global health outcomes.

Keywords: biofortification, genetic modification, biofortified crops, public health, micronutrient, sustainability, food security, nutrition, global health.

Introduction

As global population rise and food security becomes increasingly critical, the nutritional quality of crops is gaining attention. Traditional agricultural practices have mainly focused on field and pest resistance, but there is pressing need to tackle micronutrient deficiencies affecting billions worldwide. Biofortification-the process of enhancing crop' nutritional content- has emerged as a transformative solution. Horticultural crops like fruit, vegetables, and tubers are essential for human diets but often lack sufficient key nutrient, leading to health issues, particularly in developing regions. By employing innovative techniques such as genetic engineering, conventional breeding, and agronomic practices, biofortification aims to increase the concentration of crucial nutrient, thereby improving public health outcomes. This article explores various biofortification methods tailored for horticultural crops, examines successful case studies, and discuss the implication for food security, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary collaboration in advancing this important agricultural approach.

In a world facing the dual challenges of malnutrition and food insecurity, biofortification offers a promising solution. Unlike traditional food fortification, which adds nutrients during processing, biofortification enhances nutrient levels directly within the plants making food a more reliable source of essential nutrient. Through a comprehensive analysis, we illustrate how biofortification can improve individual health and contribute to broader public health goals and sustainable agricultural practices. As we strive to feed a growing population in a resource-constrained environment, biofortification stands out a beacon of hope, paving the way for a healthier, more nourished future.

Methods of Biofortification

There are mainly three methods of biofortification

1. Agronomic Biofortification
2. Conventional plant breeding
3. Genetic Engineering

1.Agronomic Biofortification: Agronomic biofortification involves the application of fertilizers to enhance the micronutrient content in edible parts of crops. The effectiveness of this method depends on the mobility of the mineral elements in both the soil and the plants. Key micronutrients used in this approach include:

Zinc: Foliar application of zinc sulfate ($ZnSO_4$) are commonly used.

Iodine: Soil application of iodine or iodate can effectively increase iodine levels.

Selenium: Inorganic selenium fertilizers, such as selenate, have shown significant success, resulting in over a tenfold increase in selenium concentrations.

Countries like China and Thailand have reported improvement in plant micronutrient enrichment through the use of inorganic iodine and zinc. However, iron (fe), particularly from iron sulfate ($FeSO_4$), exhibits low mobility in soil, making it less accessible to plant roots due to its conversion to the less available Fe^{+3} form. To improve the availability of iron, synthetic metal chelators (e.g., EDTA for Fe and Zn chelates) can be used, which have proven effective in enhancing mineral concentrations in edible parts of vegetables and fruit. Foliar application is a quick and efficient method for applying nutrients like iron, zinc, copper. Additionally, mycorrhizal associations have been found to increase the concentrations of iron, selenium, zinc, and copper in crops, as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improve the uptake and efficiency of these micronutrients.

2.Conventional Plant Breeding: Traditional plant breeding has primarily focused on yield and disease resistance over the past several decades, often neglecting the nutritional aspect of crops. This oversight has contributed to declining nutrient levels, particularly of minerals such as iron, zinc, copper, and magnesium in various plant-based foods. However, recent advancement in conventional plant breeding is now emphasizing the fortification of essential vitamins, antioxidants, and micronutrients. To effectively increase the micronutrient density of staple foods through breeding, there must be adequate genetic variation in the concentration of beneficial compounds, such as β-carotene and other carotenoids, as well as iron and zinc. This genetic diversity allows for the selection of breeding materials that are nutritionally enhanced, paving the way for improved nutrient in food crops.

3.Genetic Engineering: Genetic engineering becomes a crucial tool when there is insufficient variation among genotype for desired traits within a species, or when the crops is not amenable to traditional breeding methods, such as in the case of bananas, which lack sexual reproduction. This technique can effectively increase the concentration and bioavailability of micronutrients in edible parts of crops. One major concern associated with genetic engineering is “gene flow”, which refers to the potential transfer of foreign genes to nan-target species. Targets for genetic modification often include redistributing micronutrients across different plant tissues, enhancing the biochemical pathways in edible parts, or even reconstructing specific pathways. Additionally, efforts are made to eliminate “antinutrients” that inhibit nutrient absorption. One of the pioneering examples of biofortification through genetic engineering is golden rice, which was modified to produce beta-carotene (provitamin A) in the grain. This success has led to similar projects with various crops, resulting in the development of carotenoids-enriched foods, as well as crops enriched with other micronutrient like vitamin E and folate. Crops such as maize, orange cauliflower, tomatoes, yellow potatoes, and golden canola are also being improved using these techniques. Feeding trials have shown that biofortified carrots significantly enhance calcium absorption in both mice and humans. The field of genetic engineering is now advancing towards "multigene transfer," exemplified by the creation of "multivitamin corn," which is engineered to produce higher levels of provitamin A, vitaminB9 (folate), and vitamin C (ascorbate). Promising lines have been identified that contains up to 169 times more beta-carotene, 6.1 times more ascorbate, and double the folate compared to standard varieties. Additionally, micronutrient powders, often referred to as "sprinkles," represent a form of home fortification. These powders provide multiple nutrients in a single serving and can be sprinkled onto regular foods. Initially developed for encapsulated iron, these powders now include various formulations containing up to 15 vitamins and minerals, tailored to address specific nutritional challenges in different regions.

Current scenario of biofortification in fruit crops

Biofortification of fruit crops is gaining traction as a strategic approach to combat micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in developing countries. Here's an overview of the current landscape:

Key fruit crops under biofortification:

1. **Banana:** Research focused on increasing the levels of pro-vitamin A and iron. Some genetically modified varieties have shown promising results.
2. **Orange Sweet Potato (OSP):** OSP is a notable success story. It is rich in beta-carotene, which helps address vitamin A deficiency. Programs promoting OSP have been implemented in several African countries.
3. **Tomato:** Efforts are underway to enhance lycopene and vitamin C content. Some varieties are being developed through both traditional and transgenic methods.
4. **Papaya and Mango:** Breeding programs aim to improve their micronutrients profile, particularly vitamins A and C.
5. **Citrus Fruits:** Research includes enhancing vitamin C and folate levels, with some varieties in development stages.

Biofortification in banana crop: Bananas are a vital staple food for many communities in developing countries. Research has shown that bananas contain significant levels of provitamin A carotenoids (pVACs), highlighting their potential as a source of vitamin A. The levels of pVACs can vary gently among different banana cultivars, with the Cavendish variety having the lowest concentration, while AAB plantains and certain diploid cultivars from pupa new Guinea exhibit higher levels. This diversity in pVAC content has sparked interest in biofortification breeding efforts. However, further evaluations are needed to study representive samples of the samples of the main banana sub-groups that are commonly consumed to bred in specific regions, as preferences for banana varieties often vary by location. Additionally, in-depth research is necessary to fully understand the genetic variation among musa spp. and to explore the inheritance patterns of pVACs to support conventional breeding efforts. Transgenic methods are also being explored and have shown promising results, but more investigation is required to assess their technical and economical viability for effective use.

Biofortification in tomato crop: Our study highlights several advantages of using tomato plants for iodine biofortification programs. The plants can effectively absorb and transport adequate amounts of iodine to the fruits, even when fertilized with low, non-toxic does of potassium iodide (Kl) or potassium iodate (KlO3). Overall, the matter content in the soil or the nitrate levels used for fortification, which are important consideration for developing agronomic protocols. Among the two iodine forms tested, KlO3 is the preferred option due to fewer phytotoxicity issues observed in Kl-treated plants. However, in soils with organic matter, Kl may offer mobility and available for the plants. Lastly, iodine-biofortified tomatoes are suitable for both fresh consumption and processing, especially when the peel is left intact.

Importance

Biofortification in horticultural crops is crucial for several reasons:

1. Nutritional improvement: biofortification enhances the nutritional value of crops, increasing levels of essential vitamins and minerals like iron, zinc, and vitamin A. This is especially important in regions where diets are lacking in these nutrients.
2. Food Security: By improving the nutritional content of widely consumed crops, biofortification can help combat malnutrition, particularly in vulnerable populations.
3. Sustainable Agriculture: Biofortification can reduce the need for dietary supplements and fortification programs, promoting a more sustainable approach to improving nutrition.
4. Crop Resilience: Some biofortified crops are bred for resilience against pest, diseases, and environmental stresses, contributing to agricultural sustainable and stability in food production.
5. Economic Benefit: Enhanced nutritional profile can lead to increased demand for biofortified crops, benefiting farmers and boosting local economies.
6. Public Health: By addressing micronutrient deficiencies through naturally grown crops, biofortified can improve overall public health outcomes and reduce healthcare costs associated with malnutrition-related diseases.

Overall, biofortification represents a valuable strategy to improve health, enhance food security, and promote agricultural practices.

Conclusion

In conclusion, biofortification in horticultural crops represents a vital strategy for addressing global nutritional deficiencies and improving public health. By enhancing the nutritional quality of fruits and vegetables through techniques like conventional breeding, genetic engineering, and optimizes agricultural practices, biofortification can significantly increase the levels of essential vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants in our diets. The benefits of biofortification extend beyond improved nutrition; they also offer economic advantages for farmers and appeal to health-conscious consumers. However, challenges such as consumer acceptance, agronomic viability, and the need for supportive policies must be addressed to fully realize the potential of biofortified crops. As research advances and awareness grows, biofortified can play a crucial role in achieving food security and improving health outcomes, especially in regions where malnutrition is prevalent. Embracing this approach will not only enhance the nutritional profile of horticultural crops but also contribute to sustainable agricultural practices and better overall health for communities worldwide.

References

1. https://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/assets/pdfjs/web/viewer.html?file=https%3A%2F%2Fkrishikosh.egranth.ac.in%2Fserver%2Fapi%2Fcore%2Fbitstreams%2Fb0f50773-9e57-4cff-9c19-e021a49eb841%2Fcontent
2. Abu El Samad EH, Khalifa RKM, Lashine ZA and Shafeek MR. 2011. Influence of urea fertilization and foliar application of some micronutrients on growth, yield and bulb quality of onion. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 5:96-103.
3. Akhtar M., Yousaf, S., Sarwar, N. and Hussain, S. (2019). Zinc biofortification of cereals—role of phosphorus and other impediments in alkaline calcareous soils. Environmental geochemistry and health, 41(5):2365-2379.
4. Anonymous. 2018. Horticultural statistics at a glance. National Horticulture Board, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers welfare, Government of India. New Delhi.
5. Balashouri, P. and Prameeladevi, Y. (1995). Effect of zinc on germination, growth and pigment content and phytomass of Vigna radiata and Sorghum bicolor. Journal of Ecobiology, 7: 109-114.

6. Banerjee, H., Sarkar, S., Deb, P., Chakraborty, I., Sau, S. and Ray, K. (2017). Zinc fertilization in potato:
7. A physiological and bio-chemical study. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 16(2):1-13.
8. Banerjee, H., Sarkar, S., Deb, P., Dutta, S. K., Ray, K., Rana, L. and Majumdar, K. (2016). Impact of zinc fertilization on potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) yield, zinc use efficiency, quality and economics in entisol of West Bengal. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 64(2):176-182.
9. Bashir, S., Basit, A., Abbas, R. N., Naeem, S., Bashir, S., Ahmed, N. and Li, Y. (2021). Combined application of zinc-lysine chelates and zinc-solubilizing bacteria improves yield and grain biofortification of maize (Zea mays L.). Plos one, 16(7), e0254647.
10. Bouis, H. E. (2018). Biofortification: an agricultural tool to address mineral and vitamin deficiencies. In Food fortification in a globalized world (pp 69-81). Academic Press.
11. Cakmak, I.and Kutman, U. Á. (2018). Agronomic biofortification of cereals with zinc: a review. European journal of soil science, 69(1):172-180.
12. Hirschi, K. D. (2009). Nutrient biofortification of food crops. Annual review of nutrition, 29:401-421.
13. Hotz, C. (2009). The potential to improve zinc status through biofortification of staple food crops with zinc. Food and Nutrition Bulletin, 30(1_suppl1): S172-S178.
14. Ierna, A., Pellegrino, A., Mauro, R. P. and Leonardi, C. (2020). Micronutrient foliar fertilization for the biofortification of raw and minimally processed early potatoes. Agronomy, 10(11) :1744.