Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 05, Issue: 05 (SEP-OCT, 2025)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com
©Agri Articles, ISSN: 2582-9882

E-Extension and Mobile Apps: Enhancing Farmer Connectivity

*Priyanka Darokar
M.Sc. (Ag.) Extension, Department of Technology Transfer, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna, M.P., India
*Corresponding Author's email: priyankadarokar01@gmail.com

The production, distribution, and use of agricultural information have all changed as a result of digital technology, particularly smartphones and apps. Mobile applications and e-extension (digital agricultural extension) provide two-way contact between farmers, extension workers, and market participants; targeted decision assistance; and quick, inexpensive distribution of weather, market, and agronomic information. Evidence from randomized evaluations, quasi-experimental studies, meta-analyses, and rich case studies over the past 20 years paints a complex picture: digital extension can increase knowledge, alter how people seek information, and increase the adoption of particular practices, but its effects on yields and incomes vary and are mediated by barriers related to design, context, trust, connectivity, and inclusion. Case studies of well-known initiatives (e.g., Digital Green, e-Choupal, Reuters Market Light, Plantix, iCow), design principles from implementation studies, empirical evidence (both experimental and observational), and digital tool typologies (such as SMS/voice/IVR, hotlines, WhatsApp/Telegram groups, smartphone apps, and video-mediated programs) are all synthesized in this review. We highlight current issues (infrastructure, data privacy, sustainability, unintended commercialization), promising frontiers (AI for disease diagnosis, interoperable platforms, micro-pay models, and climate-smart advisories), and best practices (user-centered design, blended human + digital delivery, monitoring and iteration, and local language content). Lastly, we suggest a program and research plan to improve inclusiveness and impact. In order to provide researchers, extension services, and policymakers an evidence-based pathway, this article relies on peer-reviewed papers, significant evaluations, meta-analyses, and program reports.

Overview

Historically, agricultural extension has depended on direct interaction between extension agents and farmers; well-established methods include demonstration plots, radio and television, and training-and-visit systems. A parallel revolution has been sparked over the past 20 years by the rapid proliferation of mobile phones, first feature phones and later smartphones. These include e-extension and mobile apps that provide market prices, weather alerts, voice-based advice, interactive voice response (IVR), SMS, two-way hotlines, WhatsApp/Telegram groups, video-mediated group training, and AI-assisted diagnostic apps. Early economic analysis revealed mobile phone expansion decreased price dispersion in West Africa, suggesting considerable market impacts of mobile information availability.

The empirical data and implementation experience on what works, for whom, and under what circumstances are compiled in this review. We present case studies of significant programs, look at design and delivery modes, highlight equity and inclusion issues, synthesize randomized evaluations, quasi-experimental research, meta-analyses, and mixed-methods work, and suggest future approaches.

Types of mobile applications and e-extension tools

Digital agricultural tools range from low-tech (basic voice, SMS) to high-tech (AI-powered smartphone apps):

• Low bandwidth and scalable, SMS and push messages are utilized for weather, price warnings, calendar reminders, and brief advisories. Early on, structured push material and SMS were employed by commercial services such as Reuters Market Light (RML).

• Voice Hotlines and IVRs: Voice lowers literacy barriers; customized voice assistance is provided by systems such as Avaaj Otalo. Voice and IVR have been utilized in RCTs to provide crop-specific and seasonal recommendations.

• Two-way Hotlines and Call Centers: These real-time Q&A services (like Avaaj Otalo) switch information sources and provide assistance with particular issues.

• WhatsApp, Telegram, and Social Messaging Groups: these platforms facilitate peer learning and low-cost multimedia sharing; research indicates that they serve as social and information networks for farmer education.

• Decision-support and diagnostic smartphone applications: these include apps for e-commerce, farm management, market connections, and disease diagnosis (Plantix). Apps that use AI to recognize images are becoming more and more popular and have been tested technically.

• Locally created how-to videos and "mediated" distribution (Digital Green) that combine digital material and human facilitation are examples of video-mediated and multimedia platforms.

Price discovery, inputs, aggregation, and training are all combined in integrated platforms and e-commerce/value chain platforms, such as FarmStack, e-Choupal, and comparable systems.

Knowledge, adoption, yields, and incomes as proof of efficacy

Synopsis of reviews and meta-studies

According to meta-analyses and reviews, digital advisories generally have a positive impact on knowledge and the adoption of suggested practices, while their effects on yields and incomes are more variable and smaller. The findings of Fabregas et al. (2019), who synthesize randomized and quasi-experimental evidence, show significant heterogeneity by design and context but modest average increases in adoption and yields for digital interventions. Similar to this, more recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses emphasize the conditionality of economic gains while confirming positive average effects on knowledge and practice adoption.

Excellent experimental proof

Numerous randomized and cluster-randomized trials looked at voice, video, IVR, and mobile interventions:

• Avaaj Otalo / Cole & Fernando: A randomized assessment of a voice-based advisory service (Avaaj Otalo) in Gujarat, India, revealed that farmers were adopting more practices, shifting their information sources away from peers and input sellers, and taking calls, though the effects differed depending on the type of farm and level of trust.

• Digital Green RCTs: When video content is produced locally and combined with human facilitation, Digital Green's evaluations and video-mediated group extension trials show increases in practice adoption and knowledge (and in some cases, yield gains).

• Reuters Market Light RCT: This controlled RML experiment showed how difficult it is to turn information into profit by observing changes in the sourcing of information, as well as minor, quantifiable effects on spatial arbitrage and grading decisions, but limited effects on aggregate income.

• Mobile/video for cashew and other crops: New cluster RCTs of digital extension in India (such as cashew communities) demonstrate welfare impacts that are specific to the context and adoption gains.

Conditionality and heterogeneity

Farmers' initial endowments (land, education), device ownership, digital literacy, gender, trust in providers, and the availability of complementary inputs (credit, market access) all have different effects across studies. The most successful interventions are those that: (a) provide timely and locally relevant advice (such as weather-sensitive advisories); (b) have actionable content; (c) use human facilitation or blended delivery to supplement the digital channel; and (d) employ monitoring and iteration.

Design tenets and lessons for implementation

Important takeaways from programmatic and empirical research:

1. User-centred design & localization — tailor content for language, cropping systems and farmer priorities. Programs that collaborate with farmers to create material (Digital Green) have higher adoption rates.
2. Blended human + digital models — purely digital delivery sometimes suffers from low trust; blending with local facilitators or extension agents amplifies effects.
3. Trust & credibility — farmers' trust in source matters more than channel; credible institutions or demonstrable local successes increase uptake.
4. Feedback loops & monitoring – continual monitoring, A/B testing and farmer feedback increase relevance and cost-effectiveness.
5. Low-literacy design — voice, IVR and video mitigate literacy barriers; images and icons help app usability for low-literate users.
6. Sustainability & business models — many apps struggle to become financially sustainable without shifting to paid inputs or commercialization, which can change incentives.

Equity, inclusion and unintended consequences

Gender and access

Mobile phone ownership and control often skew toward men in many contexts; women may have less access to smartphones, less digital literacy, and social constraints that limit benefit realization. Interventions combining community groups and women-focused outreach increase women's reach.

Digital divide and device ownership

Smartphone-based apps are powerful but exclude farmers with feature phones or without network access. IVR and SMS remain essential inclusion channels in low-connectivity regions. Evidence shows mobile interventions can inadvertently widen gaps if not deliberately inclusive.

Commercialization and conflicts of interest

Some agritech applications have progressed toward input sales partnerships; although this might assist sustainability, it introduces possible conflicts when advice information is slanted toward items. It's useful to look at Plantix's trajectory: technological promise followed by demands to commercialize that changed the product mix. Program designers must guard against biased advisories and maintain transparency.

Data governance and privacy

Digital platforms collect rich farm and personal data; lack of clear data governance poses risks for farmer privacy, market power concentration, and misuse. Codes of conduct, consent protocols and local data governance frameworks are recommended.

Case studies

Digital Green (video + facilitation)

Digital Green's model combines locally produced farmer-led videos with village-level screening and facilitator follow-up. Evaluations (including RCTs) demonstrate gains in adoption of encouraged practices; efficacy improves when material is locally relevant and program monitoring is excellent. Digital Green's FarmStack (IVR/AI) experiments also illustrate how integrated toolsets can complement in-person facilitation.

e-Choupal (ITC): Independent case studies show quantifiable benefits for farmers, but also point out reliance on corporate models and local middlemen. e-Choupal is a farmer-oriented portal and community center model that links farmers with market and procurement services, enhancing price transparency and lowering some transaction costs.

Reuters Market Light (RML): RML provided weather, market prices and crop advisories by SMS/voice to millions of Indian farmers. Controlled evaluations showed changes in information use and small behavioural effects; willingness-to-pay studies suggested perceived value among users.

Plantix and plant disease detection apps

AI-powered apps like Plantix identify illnesses from photos and recommend treatments. Technical evaluations show promise in detection accuracy, but field impact depends on farmer trust, connectivity, and alignment with local crop varieties and pest pressures. Concerns about incentives (input promotion) and algorithm generalizability remain.

iCow — livestock expansion in Kenya

iCow offers SMS/voice services for livestock management. Impact assessments demonstrate effectiveness beyond crops by demonstrating benefits in some production parameters (family income, milk output) for users.

Difficulties and limitations in research

1. Causal pathways to income — many studies show knowledge/adoption gains, but pathways to sustained income and resilience require more rigorous, longer-term study.
2. Heterogeneous impacts & external validity — context matters; results from one region/crop may not generalize. Meta-analyses draw attention to heterogeneity; more systematic reporting of context factors is needed in research.
3. Sustainability and business models — balancing sustainability with impartial advisory content is an open challenge.
4. Data governance and ethics: research and policy need to create permission procedures, open APIs for interoperability, and farmer-centric data standards.
5. Integrating climate data: greater effort is required to quantify the advantages of resilience and adjust warnings to growing climate unpredictability.

Future directions and promising technologies

1. AI and computer vision — enhancing illness diagnosis and automated surveillance, but needs be verified for local varietal and environmental situations.
2. Interoperable digital extension ecosystems – platforms that share standards and APIs (e.g., FarmStack-like designs) may decrease fragmentation.
3. Blended models and “last-mile” human help – human facilitation remains vital; digital technologies that supplement rather than replace human agents exhibit superior results.
4. Payment and incentive innovations — micro-payments, pay-as-you-go, and subsidized vouchers may increase sustainability and access.
5. Rigorous, transparent assessment - continuing RCTs, replication studies and open data are important to create solid evidence.

Conclusion

E-extension and mobile applications have shown ability to increase farmer connectedness, knowledge and some adoption results. The most consistent data points to improvements in information-seeking behavior and better access to information; effects on yields and incomes rely on how well recommendations align with local limits, complementary inputs, and fair access to technology. It will be determined if these technologies fulfill their potential for widespread agricultural change by creating inclusive, locally relevant, transparent, and sustainable digital extension systems and conducting thorough evaluations of them.

References

1. Abate GT, et al. *Accelerating technical change through ICT: Evidence from a video-mediated extension experiment in Ethiopia.* 2023. (ScienceDirect)

2. Aker JC. *Dial "A" for agriculture: a review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries.* (review). (Wiley Online Library)
3. Aker JC. *Information from Markets Near and Far: Mobile Phones and Agricultural Markets in Niger.* American Economic Journal: Applied Economics. 2010. (American Economic Association)
4. Arora / academic case studies on farmer attitudes to e-Choupal and ICT uptake. (ResearchGate)
5. Coggins S, et al. *How have smallholder farmers used digital extension tools?* (Systematic review / case studies). 2022. (PMC)
6. Cole S, Fernando A. *'Mobile'izing Agricultural Advice: Technology Adoption, Diffusion, and Sustainability.* J-PAL/working paper (evaluation of Avaaj Otalo). 2020. (J-PAL, IDEAS/RePEc)
7. Digital Green. *Digital Green evidence & impact reports; FarmStack evaluation.* (Program reports, 2015–2021). (digitalgreen.org)
8. Fernando AN. *Seeking the treated: The impact of mobile extension on peer interactions and farmer information exchange.* 2021. (ScienceDirect, VoxDev)
9. Gouroubera MW, et al. *Drivers and impacts of mobile phone-mediated scaling of agricultural technologies (systematic review/meta-analysis).* Frontiers/SIFS, 2025. (Frontiers, cgspace.cgiar.org)
10. GSMA / Reuters Market Light. *RML case studies and impact materials.* (GSMA)
11. iCow (Kenya). *Evaluations of iCow livestock extension impacts.* 2019–2020. (ResearchGate, eRepository)
12. ITC e-Choupal case studies and World Bank notes (implementation reports). (World Bank, itforchange.net)
13. Josse PR, et al. *Using smartphone apps to capture daily agricultural activities (feasibility).*
14. Kansiime MK, et al. *Effectiveness of mobile agri-advisory service extension.* 2019. (ScienceDirect)
15. Khan RP. *A review of the ICT revolution and agricultural extension in developing countries.* (Systematic review, 2024/2025). (EconStor, Wiley Online Library)
16. Mamun-ur-Rashid M. *Usefulness of cell phones for crop farmers in Bangladesh.* (Empirical regional study). 2019. (Agecon Search)
17. McFadden J. *Precision Agriculture in the Digital Era: Recent Adoption on U.S. Farms.* USDA Economic Research (EIB). 2023. (Economic Research Service)
18. Mukerji M. *Strategic implications of e-Choupal and ICT-enabled rural initiatives.* 2020. (Wiley Online Library)
19. Plantix. *Plantix app overview and documentation; media coverage on Plantix evolution.* (plantix.net, WIRED)
20. Prakash MS. *Reuters Market Light: Business model and impact analysis.* (Cambridge paper / case). 2009. (Cambridge Judge Business School)
21. ResearchGate/various regional app reviews — *overview of mobile apps in digital agriculture (Plantix, Kisan Suvidha etc.).* 2023–2024. (ResearchGate)
22. Sen LTH, et al. *The Opportunities and Barriers in Developing Interactive Digital Extension Services for Smallholder Farmers.* Sustainability. 2025. (MDPI)
23. Siddiqua A, et al. *Evaluating Plant Disease Detection Mobile Applications.* MDPI Agronomy. 2022. (MDPI)
24. Steinke J, et al. *Tapping digital innovation for extension: policy and design guidance.* 2021. (Taylor & Francis Online)
25. Vasilaky K, et al. *Evaluating mediated video extension: Digital Green RCT evidence.* NEUDC/other evaluation (2015). (digitalgreen.org)