Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 05, Issue: 05 (SEP-OCT, 2025)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com
©Agri Articles, ISSN: 2582-9882

Carbon Sequestration and Field Climate Regulation Practices: Pathways Towards Sustainable Agriculture

Sonali Jena, *Ritesh Ranjan Rath , Anwesha Mahapatra
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751003, India
*Corresponding Author's email: rathriteshranjan9@gmail.com

In the face of accelerating climate change, agriculture is both a contributor to greenhouse gas emissions and a potential mitigator through carbon sequestration and climate regulation practices. Sustainable agricultural strategies that enhance soil organic carbon (SOC), reduce emissions of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O, and regulate field microclimate can play a crucial role in global efforts for carbon neutrality. This article examines mechanisms and field practices for carbon sequestration, evaluates innovative approaches such as enhanced weathering, agroforestry, diversified crop systems, and precision soil management, and discusses barriers and policy pathways to scale. The synthesis draws on recent empirical research and meta-analyses to outline practical, context-sensitive pathways that combine climate mitigation with productivity, biodiversity, and resilience in agricultural systems.

Introduction

Agriculture occupies roughly 37% of the global land surface and supports the livelihoods of a large fraction of the world's population. Yet, conventional agricultural systems have often been associated with soil degradation, deforestation, excessive use of chemical inputs, irrigation stress, and substantial emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O. Simultaneously, soil is the second-largest terrestrial reservoir of organic carbon after forests and is central to the carbon cycle. Hence, improving agricultural practices holds a dual promise: improving food security and agricultural incomes while sequestering carbon and regulating climate at field and regional scales.

Recent initiatives — such as "*carbon farming*," humus-building programs, climate-smart agriculture, and regenerative agriculture — emphasize soil health, carbon retention, and flux reduction. But to realize meaningful mitigation, practices must be well-understood, scalable, economically viable, and sensitive to local climatic, soil, socio-economic, and governance contexts. This article examines key field practices and emerging innovations, discusses the mechanisms, trade-offs, and policy and institutional levers required to mainstream these practices for sustainable agriculture.

Mechanisms of Carbon Sequestration and Field Climate Regulation

- **Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) Accumulation:** Plants fix CO_2 via photosynthesis; carbon is transferred to soil through roots, root exudates, decaying residues, and organic amendments. The stabilization of this carbon depends on soil texture, microbial activity, environmental conditions, and reduced disturbance.
- **Reduced Emissions of GHGs**: Practices such as no-till or reduced tillage, optimized fertilizer use, and better livestock or manure management can cut down N_2O and CH_4 emissions. These reductions complement carbon sequestration.
- **Field Microclimate Regulation**: Practices like agroforestry, sheltered field design (wind breaks, shade trees), cover crops, and residue retention can moderate soil and atmospheric

temperatures, influence moisture retention, reduce erosion, and help buffer field environments from extremes of heat, drought, or heavy rainfall. This, in turn, supports better plant growth and enhances carbon input. While direct quantification is less abundant, climatic benefits are increasingly recognized.

Proven Field Practices for Sustainable Carbon Sequestration

- **Conservation Tillage / No-till:** By minimizing soil disturbance, keeping residues on/near the surface, and maintaining soil structure, these practices help prevent oxidation of soil carbon and erosion. It also supports microbial communities.
- **Cover Crops and Crop Residue Management**: Growing crops during fallow periods and retaining or incorporating crop residues adds organic matter, diversifies root structures, reduces erosion, and sustains soil moisture.
- **Crop Rotation & Diversification**: Alternating crops (especially including legumes or deep-rooted species), intercropping, and diversifying plant species help improve soil structure, nutrient cycling, and increase carbon inputs at different soil depths. Meta-analyses show long-term benefits to SOC and also higher profitability over time.
- **Agroforestry and Tree Integration**: Incorporating trees and shrubs into cropland or pasture (e.g., alley cropping, shelterbelts, silvopasture) adds biomass, increases root carbon input, improves microclimate, and provides co-benefits (shade, windbreaks, biodiversity).
- **Organic Amendments and Biochar:** Compost, manure, green manure, and biochar help build SOC, enhance soil physical properties, water retention, and reduce the need for synthetic fertilizers. Biochar in particular adds a more recalcitrant form of carbon.
- **Enhanced Weathering / Mineral Amendments**: Adding crushed silicate rocks (e.g., basalt) to soils to chemically weather them and capture CO_2 is an emerging innovation. Trials indicate both carbon removal and agronomic benefits (e.g., improved fertility, neutralization of soil acidity).
- **Livestock and Grazing Management**: Rotational grazing, reducing overgrazing, improving pasture species diversity, and integrating animals with crop systems help maintain healthy grassland soils, increase root biomass, and prevent degradation. Also, better manure management lowers methane and nitrous oxide emissions.

Innovations and Emerging Approaches

- **Climate-Smart and Nature-Based Solutions**: Combining biodiversity, natural ecosystem services, and agricultural innovation. For example, natural farming models (minimal input, more crop species, microbial enhancement) show SOC improvements and reductions in emissions.
- **Payments and Reward Systems ("*Humus Programmes*"):** Rewarding actions (rather than just results) in carbon sequestration helps encourage farmer participation. Programmes that provide annual payments, government support, or shorter durations tend to be more acceptable.
- **Precision Agriculture & Input Management**: Using remote sensing, soil sensors, better fertilizer placement, timing, and type (e.g., nitrification inhibitors), and water management to ensure inputs produce maximal biomass (thus more carbon input) while reducing emissions.

Trade-offs, Barriers, and Uncertainties

- **Environmental and Climatic Constraints**: Soil type, texture, temperature, and moisture regimes all influence how quickly and how much carbon can be stored. In dry or cold climates, or in degraded soils, the response may be slow.
- **Return Saturation**: Soils have a carrying capacity; once carbon levels reach a new equilibrium, gains slow or stop. Maintaining higher levels needs continuous management.
- **Economic Costs and Incentives:** Upfront costs, labour, equipment, opportunity costs (e.g., of leaving land fallow or shifting from conventional practices) often deter adoption.

Without financial or policy incentives, scaling is hard. Humus programmes and other payment schemes may help.

- **Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV):** Monitoring SOC changes is technically challenging, especially over long periods and at large scales. Uncertainties in measurement reduce confidence and complicate linking payments or carbon credits to actual sequestration.
- **Potential Negative Impacts**: For instance, some interventions (like certain tillage, or over-application of amendments) may increase emissions of N_2O or cause other environmental harms. Also, enhanced weathering may have side effects (dust, changes in soil chemistry) that must be managed.

Pathways to Scaling: Policy, Institutional, and Practical Levers

- **Tailored Local Practices**: Practices must be adapted to local soil, climate, and socio-economic situations. What works in temperate regions may not work in tropical or arid zones without modification.
- **Incentive Mechanisms:** Payment for ecosystem services, carbon credits, government subsidies, and humus or soil carbon programmes that reward farmers for actions (cover crops, residue retention, etc.) or verified results. The evidence shows farmers prefer action-based, short-term, government-funded, and frequent payments.
- **SpringerLink Extension Services, Knowledge Transfer and Capacity Building**: Educating farmers, demonstrating benefits, reducing risk via pilot projects. In many places, a lack of awareness or risk perception hinders adoption.
- **Integration into Agricultural Policy and Subsidies**: Policies that currently favour high-input, high-yield, monoculture systems may need reorientation to favour soil health, crop diversity, and carbon-friendly practices.
- **Research, Innovation & Technology Development**: Better MRV methods, breeding crops with higher root biomass or carbon partitioning, new amendment technologies, microbial soil enhancers, improved decision support tools.
- **Partnerships & Stakeholder Engagement**: Governments, NGOs, the private sector, and farmers must collaborate. Markets for carbon offsets or credits can be part of this, provided transparency and equity are maintained.

Conclusion

Sustainable agriculture offers multiple, complementary pathways for carbon sequestration and field climate regulation. The collective adoption of improved soil management, agroforestry, diversified cropping, organic amendments, and emerging technologies like enhanced weathering can make agriculture part of the climate solution rather than just a source of emissions. However, realizing this potential demands aligning agronomic practices with local contexts; overcoming economic, social, and technical barriers; and establishing coherent incentive systems and policy support. If well-managed, carbon sequestration in agricultural fields can deliver co-benefits for soil fertility, water quality, biodiversity, resilience, and livelihoods — delivering not just climate mitigation, but sustainable agriculture for the future.

References

1. FAO. (2021). Recarbonizing global soils: A technical manual of recommended management practices. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
2. ”Agricultural soils in climate change mitigation: comparing action-based and results-based programmes for carbon sequestration.” Climatic Change (2024).
3. Beerling, D. J., Epihov, D. Z., et al. “Enhanced weathering in the U.S. Corn Belt delivers carbon removal with agronomic benefits.” preprint arXiv (2023).
4. Beerling, D. J., Kantzas, E. P., et al. “Transforming U.S. agriculture with crushed rock for CO_2 sequestration and increased production.” arXiv (2023).

5. "Decades matter: Agricultural diversification increases financial profitability, biodiversity, and ecosystem services over time.
6. Jayara, A. S., Pandey, S., Bhatt, M. K. "Carbon Sequestration as Influenced by Cropping Practices: A Review." Agricultural Reviews 44(4):477-484 (2023).
7. "Climate-Smart Agriculture Practices for Mitigating Greenhouse Gas Emissions." In Measuring Emission of Agricultural Greenhouse Gases and Developing Mitigation Options using Nuclear and Related Techniques. Springer (2021).