Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 05, Issue: 05 (SEP-OCT, 2025)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com
©Agri Articles, ISSN: 2582-9882

Modern Approach to Dairy Waste Management: A Technological Perspective

*Ajay Kushwah, Vartula Gupta, Agam Prakash Singh, Preeti Singh, Vikas Verma and Rashmi Rajput
Dayalbagh Educational Institute, Agra, Uttar Pradesh, India
*Corresponding Author's email: ajaykushwah.kush@gmail.com

The dairy industry plays an important role in food production and rural livelihoods, but it also creates a lot of waste in the form of wastewater, manure, and sludge. This waste is usually rich in fats, proteins, and other organic matter. If not managed properly, it can cause serious problems like water pollution, bad odors, greenhouse gas emissions, and even soil degradation. As dairy farming expands and environmental rules become stricter, finding better ways to handle this waste has become more urgent. Traditional methods of treatment, such as lagoons or basic aerobic systems, are not always effective. They often require high energy, produce excess sludge, or fail to properly treat fat-rich effluents. Because of these issues, researchers and industries are looking at new and smarter technologies that can reduce pollution and at the same time create value from waste. Some of the most promising options include anaerobic digestion for biogas, electrochemical and membrane-based treatments for cleaner water, biofilm and algal systems for nutrient recovery, and even thermochemical processes that turn waste into useful products like biochar, fuels, or bioplastics This study looks at these modern approaches and how they are being tested or applied in different parts of the world. The aim is to show how dairy waste can shift from being a problem to becoming a resource, supporting both environmental sustainability and the circular economy.

Why modern technologies to dairy farming waste management?

Modern technologies are chosen for dairy waste management because they effectively reduce pollution, recover energy (like biogas), and convert waste into valuable products such as fertilizers and clean water. They help minimize greenhouse gas emissions and ensure compliance with environmental regulations. Unlike traditional methods, they offer greater efficiency, scalability, and long-term economic benefits. This makes them a more sustainable and responsible choice for modern dairy farming.

Table:1 Difference Between Traditional and modern technologies to dairy farming waste management

Sr. No	Content	Traditional	Morden
1.	Treatment Process	Minimal or no treatment; waste is directly dumped, spread on land, or stored in open pits.	Uses advanced treatment technologies like anaerobic digestion, electrochemical systems, membrane filtration, and nutrient recovery units.
2.	Environmental Impact	High risk of water and soil pollution, methane emissions, and odor problems.	Significantly reduces environmental impact by treating pollutants, capturing methane, and recycling nutrients.
3.	Resource Recovery	Limited recovery; mainly uses manure as raw fertilizer or dried fuel.	Recovers biogas (energy), organic fertilizers, clean water, and sometimes even materials like bioplastics or biochar.

4.	Efficiency	Low efficiency; high manual labor and land use required.	High efficiency with automated systems, better pollutant removal, and reduced sludge generation.
5.	Economic Aspects	Low upfront cost but may lead to fines or environmental damage over time.	Higher initial investment but offers long-term savings and potential income from energy and by-product sales.
6.	Scalability	More suited to small-scale or subsistence farms.	Scalable and adaptable—from small modular units to large industrial plants.
7.	Regulatory Compliance	Often fails to meet modern environmental regulations.	Designed to comply with national and international waste management standards

Key Technological Innovations

- **Biological & Anaerobic Digestion (AD):** Modern AD systems, such as UASB, EGSB, or hybrid reactors, have been shown to remove very high proportions of COD under optimized conditions; e.g. a hybrid UASB treating complex phenolic coal wastewater achieved ~86% COD removal and ~96% phenolics removal at 24 h HRT. Pre- treatment (e.g. to remove fats, oils, grease) and use of conductive additives or bioelectro-chemical enhancements further improve methane yields and process stability.
- **Electrochemical & Membrane Techniques:** Electrocoagulation has been demonstrated to exceed ~89% removal of COD and nutrients in wastewater, particularly when voltage gradients are sufficient ("high voltage gradient (6 V/cm)") and contact times are optimized. Membrane technologies like MBR/NF/RO can achieve near complete removal of organic matter, suspended solids, and nutrients, though their performance is often limited by fouling, high energy demand, and operational costs.
- **Biofilm & Algal Reactors:** Biofilm reactors (e.g. Moving Bed Biofilm Reactors, MBBR) and hybrid algal bacterial floc systems are effective at removing nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus) with lower sludge production compared to conventional suspended growth systems. They also show promise for co- producing biofuels or biomass (algae) under favourable light, CO_2, and nutrient supply conditions. (Specific quantitative examples are less well documented in the sampled sources.)
- **Microbial Electrochemical Technologies (MFCs):** Microbial Fuel Cells enable simultaneous wastewater treatment along with electricity generation. They use electrogenic microbes to oxidize organics and generate current, offering both remediation of pollutants (COD, BOD) and harvesting of energy. However, practical yields are often modest, and scaling remains a challenge.
- **Thermochemical Valorization:** Processes like pyrolysis, hydrothermal carbonization convert manures or sludges into hydrochar, bio oils, syngas or bio based solid materials. For example, combining such thermochemical routes enables conversion of organic waste into biochar or bioplastics, thus turning wastes into products with higher value and lower environmental footprint.
- **Microbial & Nanobiotech Solutions:** Engineered microbes (genetically modified or selected for high pollutant degradation) and nanozyme microbe conjugates can accelerate the breakdown of specific refractory compounds (e.g. phenols, xenobiotics), increase degradation rates, and improve efficiency at lower cost. These are still relatively young research areas, with lab scale demonstration but fewer large scale/field trials.
- **Real World Deployments:** There are several large scale, operational examples: India has large biogas plants where agricultural / livestock waste is converted to compressed natural gas (CNG); the UK has research and pilot units converting manure into graphene or producing hydrogen; and in North America there are systems focused on nutrient recovery from livestock wastewater (e.g. via water recycling installations). These illustrate that many of these technologies are not just theoretical but being used in practice.chemical enhancements further improve methane yields and process stability.

Benefits of Cutting-Edge Dairy Waste Treatment Technologies

The treatment and management of agricultural and livestock wastewater offer a multitude of environmental, economic, and operational benefits when implemented effectively. A key advantage is **pollution reduction**, as advanced treatment technologies significantly lower concentrations of chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), suspended solids, fats, oils, grease, and pathogens, thus protecting soil and water bodies from contamination (Zhang et al., 2022). **Energy recovery** is another major benefit, with organic matter in waste streams being converted into renewable energy sources such as biogas, compressed natural gas (CNG), hydrogen, or even electricity through anaerobic digestion and other innovative technologies (Koch et al., 2021). **Resource valorization** further enhances sustainability by transforming waste into valuable products, including organic fertilizers, biochar, hydrochar, bioplastics, and advanced materials like graphene (Mohan et al., 2023). Economically, this results in **reduced disposal costs** and new revenue streams from selling energy and by-products, while also ensuring better compliance with environmental regulations and avoiding penalties (FAO, 2021). From an **environmental sustainability** perspective, such integrated systems lower greenhouse gas emissions, promote nutrient recycling, and support circular economy models (UNEP, 2020). Operationally, these systems improve **efficiency** by minimizing sludge generation, requiring a smaller land footprint, and allowing the deployment of modular or hybrid units suitable for farms of various sizes (IEA Bioenergy, 2022). Additionally, the potential for **water reuse** is significant, as treated effluent can be safely reused for irrigation, equipment cleaning, or certain industrial applications, thereby reducing the strain on freshwater resources (WHO, 2020). Finally, these technologies offer **scalability and flexibility**, ranging from compact systems for smallholders to large-scale biogas and wastewater treatment plants, all of which can be tailored to local agricultural and environmental conditions (IRENA, 2023).

Conclusion

Dairy waste is no longer just a disposal problem—it is a resource stream. Innovations in anaerobic digestion, electrochemical treatment, biofilm systems, and thermochemical valorization are enabling cleaner operations, renewable energy generation, and high-value by-products. By adopting context-specific, integrated solutions, the dairy industry can transition towards a sustainable, circular model that reduces environmental impact while generating economic value.

References

1. Arashiro, L. T., Montero, N., Ferrer, I., Acien, F. G., Gomez, C., & Garfi, M. (2020). Life cycle assessment of high rate algal ponds for wastewater treatment and resource recovery. *arXiv*.
2. Chauhan, A., & Srivastava, R. (2025). Biomass valorization with metal-free catalysts: innovations in thermocatalytic, photocatalytic, and electrocatalytic approaches. *Chemical Society Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D5CS00304K
3. Huang, Y., Liu, S., Meng, F., & Smith, K. (2022). Increasing and diverging greenhouse gas emissions of urban wastewater treatment in China. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11511
4. Mohan, D., et al. (2023). Valorization of lignocellulosic biomass into sustainable materials for adsorption and photocatalytic applications in water and air remediation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30*, 74544–74574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-27484-2
5. Zeng, T., Wang, L., Zhang, X., Song, X., Li, J., Yang, J., Chen, S., & Zhang, J. (2022). Characterization of microbial communities in wastewater treatment plants containing heavy metals located in chemical industrial zones. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(11), 6529. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116529
6. Zhang, T. (2025). Sustainable wastewater treatment and the circular economy. *Water, 17*(3), 335. https://doi.org/10.3390/w17030335