

Direct Seeded Rice: Low Cost, High Profit

*Kshitiz Rai¹, Satyarath Sonkar², Praveen Kumar Yadav³, Sidhartha Mishra⁴ and
Durgesh Kumar Maurya⁵

¹Sukita Yakha, Rishi Road, 10.5 Miles, P.O. Topkhana, Kalimpong, West Bengal

²Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture &
Technology, Kanpur-208002

³Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Chandra Shekhar Azad
University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur-208002

⁴Research Scholar, Dept. of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SVPUAT, Meerut

⁵SMS, KVK, Santkabirnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author's email: kshitiz2000rai@gmail.com

The global agricultural landscape is currently facing a "triple threat": acute labor shortages, shrinking freshwater resources, and the escalating impact of climate change. For decades, the **Puddled Transplanted Rice (PTR)** system has been the gold standard for rice production. However, its heavy reliance on flooding, intensive labor for nursery raising and transplanting, and high methane emissions are making it increasingly unsustainable.

Direct Seeded Rice (DSR) has emerged as a robust, resource-efficient alternative. By sowing seeds directly into the field, farmers bypass the resource-draining nursery and transplanting stages, leading to significant cost reductions and improved profit margins.

The Mechanics of Direct Seeded Rice (DSR)

DSR is not a single practice but a suite of technologies categorized by how the seed is established in the field:

- **Dry-DSR:** Seeds are sown into dry soil or a prepared seedbed. This is often done using a **Zero-Tillage (ZT)** drill or a multi-crop planter, which minimizes soil disturbance.
- **Wet-DSR:** Pre-germinated seeds are sown onto puddled soil. This is common in areas where water is available but labor for transplanting is scarce.
- **Water Seeding:** Seeds are sown directly into standing water, a method used primarily for weed suppression in specific geographies.

The Economic Edge: Reducing Input Costs

The primary driver for DSR adoption is the drastic reduction in the **Cost of Cultivation (CoC)**. Research indicates that DSR can reduce production costs by **US\$9 to \$125 per hectare** compared to traditional methods (Chaudhary et al., 2023).

Labor Savings

In the PTR system, transplanting is a peak-demand activity that often leads to "labor spikes" and wage inflation. DSR eliminates:

- Nursery preparation and maintenance.
- Uprooting of seedlings.
- The arduous task of manual transplanting (drudgery).

Studies in Haryana and Punjab have shown labor savings ranging from **12% to 35%**, as the demand for labor is spread more evenly across the season (Kumar & Ladha, 2011).

Water and Energy Conservation

Puddling and continuous flooding in PTR consume nearly **2500–3000 liters of water** to produce 1 kg of rice. DSR reduces water consumption by **12% to 35%** because it eliminates the need for a flooded nursery and the initial "puddling" phase. This translates directly to lower diesel/electricity costs for pumping groundwater.

Resource	Puddled Transplanted Rice (PTR)	Direct Seeded Rice (DSR)	Savings/Benefit
Water Use	100% (Baseline)	65% – 85%	15% – 35% Reduction
Labor (Man-days)	70 – 75 days/ha	55 – 60 days/ha	~20% Reduction
Energy (Diesel/Power)	High (Puddling/Pumping)	Low (No Puddling)	~25% Reduction
Methane (CH₄)	High (Anaerobic soil)	Low (Aerobic soil)	30% – 90% Reduction

Profitability and Yield Dynamics

A common concern among farmers is the "yield gap." While some studies show DSR yields can be **2% to 3% lower** than PTR due to weed competition, the **Net Return** is frequently higher because the cost savings outweigh the marginal yield difference.

- **Net Profit Increase:** In Karnataka's Tungabhadra region, DSR recorded a **52% higher net return** (Rs. 62,465/ha) compared to TPR (Rs. 32,693/ha), primarily due to lower input costs (KrishiKosh, 2024).
- **Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR):** DSR often achieves a BCR of **2.8 to 2.9**, compared to 2.3 to 2.6 for transplanted rice.
- **Early Maturity:** DSR crops typically mature **7–15 days earlier** than transplanted crops. This allows farmers to sow the succeeding crop (like wheat or mustard) earlier, often leading to better yields in the follow-up season.

Overcoming Technical Challenges

While profitable, DSR requires a shift in management strategy, particularly regarding **weed control** and **nutrient management**.

1. **Weed Management:** Since there is no standing water to drown out weeds in the early stages, weed infestation is the "Achilles' heel" of DSR. Success depends on a combination of **pre-emergence herbicides** (like Pendimethalin) and timely **post-emergence** applications.
2. **Nutrient Management:** In aerobic DSR soils, nutrients like **Iron (Fe)** and **Zinc (Zn)** can become less available. Farmers are encouraged to use **Site-Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM)** and apply a full basal dose of Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) at sowing (ICAR-CRRI, 2025).
3. **Seed Selection:** Using short-duration, high-yielding varieties with high seedling vigor is essential for DSR success.

Environmental and Soil Health Benefits

Beyond the ledger, DSR offers "hidden" profits through ecosystem services:

- **Soil Structure:** Avoiding puddling prevents the formation of a "hard pan" in the subsoil. This improves water percolation and root penetration for the next crop.
- **Climate Resilience:** DSR reduces methane emissions by up to **90%** by maintaining aerobic soil conditions. As carbon credit markets expand, this environmental benefit could eventually become a direct financial incentive for farmers.

Conclusion

Direct Seeded Rice represents a paradigm shift from "resource-intensive" to "knowledge-intensive" farming. While it demands more precision in weed and water management, the economic rewards—ranging from significantly lower input costs to higher net profitability—make it an indispensable tool for the modern rice farmer.