



CRISPR–Cas9 Genome Editing: Transforming Agriculture and Crop Protection in the Era of Precision Breeding

*Tania Roy and Subhojit Chatterjee

M. Sc. Scholar, School of Crop Protection (SCP), College of Post-Graduate Studies in Agricultural Sciences, Central Agricultural University (Imphal), Umroi Road, Umiam, Meghalaya- 793103, India

*Corresponding Author's email: roytania269@gmail.com

The agriculture sector faces an unprecedented twofold challenge as the world's population rises toward an estimated 10 billion people by 2050: boosting food production by 70% while reducing the catastrophic effects of climate change and developing phytopathogens. Despite their effectiveness, traditional breeding techniques are frequently too sluggish and constrained by genetic bottlenecks. An age of "Precision Breeding," which offers a groundbreaking method of crop protection, has begun with the development of CRISPR-Cas9 (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) technology. This article examines the application of CRISPR-mediated genome editing to improve resistance against insect pests and bacterial, viral, and fungal diseases. We describe new discoveries made between 2018 and 2026. CRISPR is positioned as a key component for sustainable global food security, including the move toward transgene-free crops and the development of regulations in significant economies like India.

Introduction: The New Agricultural Frontier

Agricultural innovation is inextricably related to the history of human civilization. From the Fertile Crescent's wild grass domestication until the 1960s Green Revolution, breeders have consistently worked to create cultivars that can satisfy the needs of an expanding global population (Waites *et al.*, 2025). But we are in the midst of an environmental and demographic crisis. In addition to producing abiotic stressors like salinity and drought, global warming is also promoting the spread of novel plant diseases that could endanger up to 40% of crop production worldwide each year (Ristaino *et al.*, 2021). Even though traditional breeding is essential, it frequently results in "linkage drag," which is the inheritance of undesirable traits with advantageous ones that must be corrected by years of backcrossing (Ahmadikhah *et al.*, 2025). Due to the introduction of alien DNA (transgenes), genetic engineering (GMOs) offered a remedy in the 1990s but encountered strong popular opposition and stiff regulatory obstacles. According to Ali *et al.* (2024), CRISPR-Cas9 is a molecular knife that enables researchers to precisely alter a plant's DNA without the need to introduce extra genes. Everything from maize and beans to rice and wheat, this "Precision Breeding" is revolutionizing the way we safeguard our main crops.

The Mechanics of Precision

The CRISPR-Cas9 system, the most adaptable genome-editing tool in history was first identified as a bacterial immunological defense mechanism against viral phages (Jung *et al.*, 2024).

Components

1. Cas9 Nuclease: An enzyme that functions as a set of "molecular scissors" to cut DNA at a particular location.

2. Single Guide RNA (sgRNA): A little RNA molecule that directs the Cas9 enzyme to its target by identifying and attaching to a particular 20-nucleotide sequence in the plant genome.

The Repair Mechanism

The Cas9-sgRNA combination causes a double-strand break (DSB) when it locates its target. The natural healing processes of the plant then take over:

1. **Non-Homologous End Joining (NHEJ):** Frequently makes little changes (indels) to a gene that "knock out" or silence it. Disrupting susceptibility genes is the main goal of this (Waites *et al.*, 2025).
2. **Homology-Directed Repair (HDR):** Inserts or replaces particular sequences using a DNA template. It is still less effective in plants than NHEJ, while being more accurate (Waites *et al.*, 2025).

Evolution of the CRISPR Toolbox (2023–2026)

Beyond the standard Cas9, the 2020s have seen the rise of **Base Editing** and **Prime Editing**.

1. **Base Editing:** It greatly minimizes off-target effects by enabling the direct conversion of one DNA base into another (for example, C to T) without resulting in double-strand breaks (Pfeiffer & Stafforst, 2023).
2. **Prime Editing:** It is sometimes referred to as a "search-and-replace" technique since it may fix a variety of genetic variations, including particular point mutations that increase the risk of developing a disease (Chen & Liu, 2023).

CRISPR in Crop Protection: Combatting Biotic Stress

Chemical pesticides, which are hazardous to the environment and cause insect populations to become resistant, have historically been a major part of crop protection. By altering the internal architecture of the plant to fend against intruders, CRISPR provides a biological substitute.

1. Fungal Resistance: Silencing Susceptibility

Cereals are seriously threatened by fungi such as *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Rice Blast) and *Fusarium graminearum* (Fusarium Head Blight). Silencing "Susceptibility (S) genes"-genes in the plant that the fungus uses to enter or obtain nutrients-is a key tactic.

- **Wheat:** The **TaHRC** and **TaNFXL1** genes have been successfully targeted in recent studies. It has been demonstrated that employing CRISPR-Cas9 to knock out these genes increases grain resistance to Fusarium Head Blight and decreases the buildup of dangerous mycotoxins such as deoxynivalenol (DON) (Ahmadikhah *et al.*, 2025; Waites *et al.*, 2025).
- **Rice:** To improve resistance to blast disease without sacrificing yield, the **OsERF922** and **OsWRKY** genes have been modified (Erdoğan *et al.*, 2023).

2. Viral Defense: Targeted Degradation

Plant viruses are infamously hard to manage because they spread quickly and frequently don't respond well to chemical treatments. Direct targeting and degradation of viral RNA is now possible, though, thanks to CRISPR-Cas systems, especially Cas13, which targets RNA rather than DNA. Researchers have produced "immune" tomato and potato cultivars against mosaic viruses by engineering plants to express Cas13 programs specific to particular viral sequences (Mahas *et al.*, 2019; Waites *et al.*, 2025).

3. Bacterial Blight Management

In Asia, bacterial blight, which is brought on by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (Xoo), is a severe disease that affects rice. Researchers have altered the SWEET genes' promoter regions using CRISPR. Bacteria often use these genes to pump sugar out of the cell for their own use. The plant provides broad-spectrum resistance by efficiently starving the pathogen by altering the "binding sites" where the bacteria bind (Waites *et al.*, 2025; Chen *et al.*, 2024).

4. Insect Pest Control

Although microbial infections are the primary focus of CRISPR applications, improvements in pest control are also becoming apparent. Some strategies are:

- **Protease inhibitors:** Increasing the plant's defensive mechanisms to prevent feeding.
- **Gene Drives:** Despite ethical concerns, gene drives are being studied to reduce invasive pest populations, such as *Tuta absoluta* (Tomato Pinworm), by guaranteeing that a harmful characteristic is passed on to all progeny, ultimately leading to population collapse (Desneux *et al.*, 2022).

Comparison of Breeding Technologies

The transition from traditional methods to CRISPR represents a paradigm shift in speed and precision.

Feature	Traditional Breeding	Genetic Engineering (GMO)	CRISPR-Cas9 Editing
Precision	Low (Random recombination)	Medium (Random insertion)	High (Site-specific)
Timeframe	10–15 years	8–12 years	2–4 years
Foreign DNA	No	Yes	No (in SDN-1/SDN-2)
Regulatory Burden	Low	High	Low to Medium
Cost	Medium	High	Low

The Indian Context and Global Regulatory Landscape

Government policy has a major role in the marketing of genetically modified crops. A major change took place in 2022–2023 when a number of countries, including India, decided to exclude some gene-edited crops from the strict laws pertaining to genetically modified organisms.

India's Stance: A Catalyst for Growth

SDN-1 and SDN-2 types of site-directed nucleases, which do not include foreign DNA, were exempted from the "Rules of 1989" by the Ministry of Environment, Forests, and Climate Change (MoEFCC) in a historic decision (Waites *et al.*, 2025). This has made it possible for Indian researchers at organizations like IARI and ICAR to create rice, mustard, and potato cultivars that are resistant to pests and climate change.

The Global Shift

European Union: The European Commission, historically conservative, put up a "hybrid model" in 2023-2024, aiming to regard gene-edited "Category 1 NGT plants" as being on par with traditional breeding (European Commission, 2023).

North America: Both the US and Canada have embraced science-based, product-oriented frameworks that emphasize the final characteristic rather than the method by which it was produced (Michaud & The Crown, 2025).

Future Prospects and Challenges

Even so, there are still a number of obstacles that the scientific community is working to overcome in the middle of the 2020s.

Off-target Mutations: Higher-fidelity enzymes (such as HiFi-Cas9) and improved computational modeling/AI-driven guide RNA design are reducing the likelihood of Cas9 cutting in unwanted genomic sites, which is a major safety issue (Chen *et al.*, 2024; Raza *et al.*, 2024).

Delivery Mechanisms: Getting the CRISPR components inside the plant cell is one of the main obstacles. Although transformation by *Agrobacterium* is frequent, bacterial DNA is frequently left behind. SDN-1 regulatory status depends on really DNA-free delivery, which is made possible by the move toward biolistics (Gene Gun) and nanoparticles (using carbon nanotubes or gold) (Chen *et al.*, 2024).

Integration with AI and Big Data: Combining CRISPR with machine learning is the next big thing. Scientists can avoid years of trial and error by using AI to analyze large genomic databases and pinpoint the precise genes causing pest resistance.

Equitable Access: Global equity depends on ensuring that this technology is accessible to small-scale farmers in poor countries and is not exclusively controlled by big businesses. The "democratization" of CRISPR gives hope for decentralized agricultural innovation because it is less expensive than traditional GMO development.

Conclusion

CRISPR-Cas9 is a 21st-century requirement rather than merely a scientific discovery. This technique provides a way to lessen our need on harmful chemical inputs by enabling the quick, accurate, and economic growth of crops that can resist the flood of pests and illnesses. By 2030, the "Precision Breeding" era's emphasis must continue to be on:

1. **Transparent Regulation:** By using safety evaluations that are grounded on research, transparent regulation preserves public confidence.
2. **Ethical Application:** Giving smallholder farmers and the environment first priority. Using genetic data to fight transboundary plant diseases is an example of global collaboration.

Although CRISPR-mediated crop protection is not a panacea, it is the most potent weapon in our toolbox for ensuring future food security in a changing environment.

References

1. Afzal, S., Javed, T., Shabbir, R., and Arfan, M. (2023). Environmental changes and the need for precision breeding. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 17(2): 145–160.
2. Ahmadikhah, A., Zarabizadeh, H., Nayeri, S., and Abbasi, M. S. (2025). Advancements in genome editing tools for genetic studies and crop improvement. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15: 1370675. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1370675>
3. Ali, S., et al. (2024). Enhancing agricultural productivity through transgene-free genome editing. *Trends in Plant Science*, 29(1): 12–25.
4. Ambika, S., et al. (2024). Limitations of traditional breeding in the era of rapid climate change. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 162(4): 501–515.
5. Chen, F., Chen, L., Yan, Z., Xu, J., Feng, L., He, N., et al. (2024). Recent advances of CRISPR-based genome editing for enhancing staple crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15: 1478398. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1478398>
6. Chen, K., and Liu, Y. (2023). Prime editing: A search-and-replace tool for plant genome engineering. *Nature Plants*, 9(3): 215–228.
7. Das, A., et al. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9 applications in horticultural crop protection. *Horticulture Research*, 9: uhac120.
8. Desneux, N., et al. (2022). *Tuta absoluta*: A global invasion and the potential of biotechnological control. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 67: 411–434.
9. Erdoğan, İ., Cevher-Keskin, B., Bilir, Ö., Hong, Y., and Tör, M. (2023). Recent Developments in CRISPR/Cas9 Genome-Editing Technology Related to Plant Disease Resistance and Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Biology*, 12(7): 1037. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology12071037>
10. European Commission. (2023). *Proposal for a Regulation on plants obtained by certain new genomic techniques*. https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_23_3641
11. Ghosh, S., & Chatterjee, A. (2024). Targeted genome modifications for sustainable agriculture. *Molecular Plant*, 17(1): 5–8.
12. Groover, A., et al. (2024). Adapting forests and crops to climate change using CRISPR. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 78: 102490.
13. Gupta, R., et al. (2023). Prime editing for disease resistance in rice: Correcting the susceptibility. *Plant Cell Reports*, 42(6): 1021–1035.

14. Jones, J. D. G., *et al.* (2024). Pyramiding resistance genes using CRISPR-mediated knock-ins. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 25: 89–104.
15. Jung, C., *et al.* (2024). The role of crRNA and tracrRNA in the evolution of plant biotechnology. *Cell*, 187(4): 812–825.
16. Luo, M., *et al.* (2021). Selection pressure and the evolution of fungal pathogens in edited crops. *New Phytologist*, 230(2): 441–455.
17. Mahas, A., *et al.* (2019). Characterization and engineering of Cas13d for precise RNA editing in plants. *Genome Biology*, 20: 249.
18. Michaud, J., & The Crown. (2025). The current regulatory status of biotechnologically bred crops in Canada and beyond. *Genome*, 68(1): 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2025-0008>
19. Muha-Ud-Din, G., *et al.* (2024). CRISPR/Cas as a game-changer in agricultural biotechnology. *Biotechnology Advances*, 62: 108050.
20. Nizampatnam, S., *et al.* (2024). Base editing of fatty acid desaturase genes for cold tolerance in soybean. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 22(3): 567–580.
21. Ntsomboh-Ntsefong, G., *et al.* (2023). CRISPR applications in tropical agriculture. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7: 112045.
22. Pfeiffer, F., and Stafforst, T. (2023). Precision of base editing in plant systems. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 79:102856.
23. Raza, A., *et al.* (2024). CRISPR/Cas9: A cornerstone for climate-smart agriculture. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 75(2): 450–468.
24. Ren, Q., *et al.* (2021). Expanded PAM recognition by engineered Cas9 variants in rice. *Nature Communications*, 12: 1483.
25. Ristaino, J. B., *et al.* (2021). The pandemic potential of plant diseases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(23): e2026239118.
26. Rosado, A. (2024). Global policy discrepancies in genome editing: A trade perspective. *Bioengineering & Biotechnology*, 12: 1609110.
27. Saini, R., *et al.* (2023). Improving agronomic traits in cereals through CRISPR/Cas9. *Scientific Reports*, 13: 4567.
28. Tachikawa, M., & Matsuo, M. (2023). Regulatory and trade challenges for gene-edited products. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 41(5): 612–625.
29. Verma, S., *et al.* (2023). CRISPR technology: A transformative tool for biotic stress. *Plant Physiology*, 192(1): 15–32.
30. Waites, J., Achary, V. M. M., Syombua, E. D., Hearne, S. J., & Bandyopadhyay, A. (2025). CRISPR-mediated genome editing of wheat for enhancing disease resistance. *Frontiers in Genome Editing*, 7: 1542487. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2025.1542487>