Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 06, Issue: 03 (MAY-JUNE, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com
©Agri Articles, ISSN: 2582-9882

Fish Quality Assessment: Traditional and Modern Approaches for Ensuring Seafood Safety and Freshness

*Udit Murabiya[1] and Astha Deshmukh[2]
[1]ICAR-CIFE, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India
[2]Department of Fisheries, Chhattisgarh, India
*Corresponding Author's email: uditmurabiya109@gmail.com

Fish is one of the most nutritious and highly perishable food commodities. Due to its high moisture content, neutral pH, and abundance of readily degradable proteins and lipids, fish begins to deteriorate immediately after harvest. Maintaining fish quality is essential for consumer safety, market acceptability, processing efficiency, and export competitiveness. Quality assessment helps determine the freshness, safety, and suitability of fish for consumption and processing. Over the years, various traditional methods have been employed to evaluate fish quality. Recent technological advancements have introduced rapid, non-destructive, and highly accurate techniques that complement conventional approaches. The integration of traditional and modern methods is transforming quality control practices in the seafood industry.

Importance of Fish Quality Assessment

Quality assessment is a critical component of the fish value chain. It ensures that consumers receive safe and wholesome products while helping processors minimize economic losses due to spoilage. High-quality fish commands better market prices and facilitates compliance with national and international food safety standards. Effective quality evaluation also supports traceability, export certification, and consumer confidence in seafood products (Huss, 1995).

Traditional Approaches to Fish Quality Assessment

Sensory Evaluation

Sensory assessment is the oldest and most widely used method for evaluating fish freshness. It relies on human senses such as sight, smell, touch, and taste. Fresh fish typically exhibit bright skin, clear and protruding eyes, red gills, firm flesh, and a mild sea-like odor. As spoilage progresses, the eyes become cloudy, gills lose their bright color, flesh softens, and unpleasant odors develop. Sensory evaluation is simple, inexpensive, and can be performed quickly without specialized equipment. However, the method is subjective and depends heavily on the experience and skill of the evaluator (Olafsdottir et al., 2004).

Physical and Chemical Analysis

Physical and chemical indicators provide objective measurements of fish quality. Commonly used parameters include:

- **pH:** Fresh fish generally have a lower pH, which increases during spoilage.
- **Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVB-N):** Indicates the accumulation of ammonia and other volatile compounds produced during decomposition.
- **Trimethylamine (TMA):** Associated with the characteristic fishy odor of spoiled marine fish.
- **Lipid Oxidation Indices:** Such as peroxide value and thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), used to evaluate rancidity.

These methods are reliable but often require laboratory facilities and trained personnel.

Microbiological Assessment

Microbial analysis determines the number and types of microorganisms present in fish. Total viable count (TVC), coliform counts, and pathogen detection are commonly used indicators of fish quality and safety. Since microbial growth is a major cause of fish spoilage, microbiological testing remains an important component of quality assurance programs (Gram and Huss, 1996).

Modern Approaches to Fish Quality Assessment

Electronic Nose (E-Nose)

The electronic nose is an advanced sensing device designed to detect volatile compounds released during fish spoilage. It mimics the human olfactory system and provides rapid, objective, and non-destructive analysis. E-nose systems can accurately differentiate between fresh and spoiled fish and are increasingly used in seafood processing facilities (Baki et al., 2018).

Electronic Tongue (E-Tongue)

The electronic tongue uses sensor arrays to evaluate taste-related chemical compounds in fish products. It can identify subtle changes associated with spoilage and quality deterioration. The technology offers consistent results and reduces the subjectivity associated with sensory evaluation.

Spectroscopic Techniques

Modern spectroscopic methods such as Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (NIRS), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), and Raman Spectroscopy are increasingly employed for fish quality assessment. These techniques enable rapid, non-destructive evaluation of chemical composition, freshness, and spoilage status without extensive sample preparation (Cheng et al., 2015).

Computer Vision Systems

Computer vision technology uses digital imaging and image analysis algorithms to assess external quality attributes such as color, texture, size, and surface defects. Automated imaging systems can evaluate large quantities of fish with high accuracy and consistency, making them valuable tools for industrial quality control.

Biosensors and Nanotechnology

Biosensors are analytical devices capable of detecting specific biological or chemical markers associated with fish freshness. Nanotechnology-based sensors offer enhanced sensitivity and rapid response times. These technologies are being developed for real-time monitoring of seafood quality during processing, storage, and transportation (Hassoun et al., 2022).

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms are revolutionizing seafood quality assessment. By analyzing data from sensors, images, and spectroscopic instruments, AI systems can predict freshness, classify quality grades, and detect spoilage with remarkable accuracy. These technologies support automated decision-making and improve efficiency in fish processing industries (Li et al., 2021).

Comparison of Traditional and Modern Methods

Traditional methods remain valuable due to their simplicity, affordability, and widespread acceptance. However, they may be subjective, time-consuming, and labor-intensive. Modern techniques offer rapid, objective, and non-destructive analysis but often require significant investment in equipment and technical expertise. Therefore, combining traditional methods with advanced technologies provides a comprehensive approach to fish quality assessment. Sensory evaluation can serve as an initial screening tool, while modern analytical techniques can provide precise confirmation of quality status.

Future Perspectives

The future of fish quality assessment lies in the integration of smart technologies such as biosensors, artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, and blockchain-based

traceability systems. Real-time monitoring throughout the supply chain will improve seafood safety, reduce post-harvest losses, and enhance consumer confidence. As technology becomes more affordable and accessible, modern quality assessment tools are expected to become standard components of seafood processing and quality management systems.

Conclusion

Fish quality assessment is essential for ensuring seafood safety, freshness, and marketability. Traditional methods such as sensory, chemical, and microbiological analyses continue to play a significant role in quality evaluation. However, modern technologies including electronic noses, spectroscopy, biosensors, computer vision, and artificial intelligence are providing faster, more accurate, and non-destructive alternatives. The integration of traditional expertise with emerging technologies offers the most effective strategy for maintaining high standards of seafood quality and supporting the sustainable growth of the fish processing industry.

References

1. Huss, H.H. (1995). *Quality and Quality Changes in Fresh Fish*. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper No. 348.
2. Gram, L., & Huss, H.H. (1996). Microbiological spoilage of fish and fish products. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 33, 121–137.
3. Olafsdottir, G., Martinsdottir, E., Oehlenschlager, J., et al. (2004). Methods to evaluate fish freshness in research and industry. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 15, 258–265.
4. Erkan, N. (2013). Sensory, chemical and microbiological attributes of seafood quality. *Food Reviews International*, 29, 259–277.
5. Cheng, J.H., Sun, D.W., Zeng, X.A., & Liu, D. (2015). Recent advances in methods and techniques for freshness quality determination and evaluation of fish and fish fillets. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 55, 1012–1225.
6. Baki, B., Dalgic, A.C., & Ertekin, F.K. (2018). Application of electronic nose technology in fish freshness evaluation. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 42, e13417.
7. Hassoun, A., Aït-Kaddour, A., Sahar, A., et al. (2022). Intelligent sensing technologies for fish quality evaluation. *Food Control*, 133, 108608.
8. Li, Y., Yang, S., Wang, X., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence applications in seafood quality assessment. *Foods*, 10, 2567.
9. Nirmal, N.P., & Benjakul, S. (2011). Retardation of fish spoilage using quality assessment tools. *Food Control*, 22, 1466–1474.
10. Ozogul, Y., & Ozogul, F. (2019). Recent developments in seafood quality assessment. *Food Chemistry*, 272, 1–12.
11. Boziaris, I.S. (2014). *Seafood Processing: Technology, Quality and Safety*. Wiley-Blackwell.
12. Doe, P.E. (1998). *Fish Drying and Smoking: Production and Quality*. Technomic Publishing.