



Impact of MGNREGA Scheme and Its Effect on Labour: An Assessment

*Glory. K. S. and S. Prasath

Assistant Professors (Soil science and Agricultural Chemistry),

Krishna College of Agriculture and Technology, Usilampatti-625532, India

*Corresponding Author's email: glorymol.gdr@gmail.com

The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) is one of the largest public employment programs in the world, aimed at enhancing livelihood security in rural India through guaranteed wage employment. Since its implementation in 2005, the scheme has significantly influenced rural labour markets, income levels, migration patterns, and agricultural productivity. While MGNREGA has improved employment security, strengthened the bargaining power of workers, and promoted women's participation in the workforce, it has also been criticized for contributing to labour shortages in agriculture, increasing wage costs, and creating administrative challenges. This paper examines both the positive and negative impacts of MGNREGA on labour and evaluates its role in shaping rural economic development. The study concludes that although the scheme has served as an important social safety net, its effectiveness depends on efficient implementation and balancing worker welfare with agricultural productivity.

Keywords: MGNREGA, Rural Labour, Employment Guarantee, Agricultural Labour, Rural Development, Wage Employment, Labour Market, Social Security.

Introduction

The Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA), enacted in 2005, guarantees 100 days of wage employment annually to rural households willing to undertake unskilled manual work. The primary objective of the scheme is to enhance livelihood security, reduce poverty, and create durable rural assets. Over the past two decades, MGNREGA has emerged as a significant intervention in India's rural labour market, affecting millions of workers and influencing the broader rural economy (Dreze & Khera, 2017).

Positive Impact of MGNREGA on Labour

Employment Security and Income Generation: MGNREGA has provided a reliable source of income to rural households, particularly during periods of seasonal unemployment. The guaranteed employment provision has reduced income uncertainty and strengthened the economic resilience of vulnerable communities (Ministry of Rural Development, 2023).

Increased Bargaining Power of Workers: The scheme has contributed to rising rural wage rates by establishing a minimum wage benchmark. Labourers now possess greater bargaining power when negotiating wages with private employers, leading to improvements in living standards and household consumption (NCEUS, 2008).

Reduction in Distress Migration: Several studies have shown that MGNREGA has reduced seasonal and distress migration from rural areas to urban centers. By creating employment opportunities within villages, the scheme enables workers to remain close to their families and communities (Khera & Nayak, 2009).

Women's Empowerment: Women have been among the major beneficiaries of MGNREGA. Equal wages for men and women and greater access to paid work have enhanced women's economic independence and social participation. Female participation rates under the scheme have consistently exceeded statutory requirements in many states (Dreze & Khera, 2017).

Negative Impact of MGNREGA on Labour

Labour Shortages in Agriculture

One of the most debated consequences of MGNREGA is its impact on agricultural labour availability. Farmers in several regions have reported difficulties in securing workers during sowing and harvesting seasons because labourers often prefer MGNREGA employment due to guaranteed wages and predictable working conditions (Bhalla, 2013).

Rising Labour Costs

The increase in rural wage rates has raised labour costs for farmers, particularly small and marginal cultivators. Higher production costs can reduce farm profitability and place additional financial pressure on agricultural households (Gulati, Jain & Satija, 2013).

Limited Skill Development

Since MGNREGA primarily focuses on unskilled manual work, critics argue that it does little to improve workers' skills or employability in higher-productivity sectors. Consequently, long-term economic mobility may remain limited for many beneficiaries (Mehrotra, 2008).

Delayed Wage Payments

Despite improvements in digital payment systems, delays in wage disbursement remain a persistent challenge. Such delays undermine the scheme's objective of providing timely income support and often create hardship for labour-dependent households (Dutta et al., 2014).

Corruption and Administrative Inefficiencies

Instances of fake job cards, inflated attendance records, fund leakages, and poor monitoring have affected the effectiveness of the scheme in certain regions. These administrative shortcomings reduce the benefits reaching genuine workers and weaken public trust in the program (CAG, 2013).

Overall Impact on the Labour Market

MGNREGA has transformed rural labour markets by increasing wage levels, improving employment security, and reducing vulnerability among rural households. However, its influence has also altered labour supply dynamics, contributing to concerns about agricultural labour shortages and rising production costs. The net impact varies across states and depends largely on implementation quality, local economic conditions, and agricultural labour demand.

Conclusion

MGNREGA represents a landmark initiative in India's social protection framework. The scheme has generated substantial benefits for rural labourers by providing employment opportunities, increasing wages, reducing migration, and empowering women. At the same time, challenges such as labour shortages in agriculture, rising wage costs, delayed payments, and administrative inefficiencies require careful policy attention. Future reforms should focus on improving transparency, ensuring timely payments, integrating skill development initiatives, and coordinating employment schedules with agricultural activities. Such measures can maximize the scheme's benefits while minimizing its unintended consequences.

References

1. Bhalla, S. S. (2013). *The Impact of MGNREGA on Rural Labour Markets*. New Delhi: Academic Foundation.
2. Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG). (2013). *Performance Audit of Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme*. Government of India.

3. Dreze, J., & Khera, R. (2017). *Recent Social Security Initiatives in India*. World Development, 98, 555–572.
4. Dutta, P., Murgai, R., Ravallion, M., & Van de Walle, D. (2014). *Right to Work? Assessing India's Employment Guarantee Scheme in Bihar*. World Bank Publications.
5. Gulati, A., Jain, S., & Satija, N. (2013). *Rising Farm Wages in India: The Pull and Push Factors*. Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices.
6. Khera, R., & Nayak, N. (2009). *Women Workers and Perceptions of the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act*. Economic and Political Weekly, 44(43), 49–57.
7. Mehrotra, S. (2008). *NREG Two Years On: Where Do We Go From Here?* Economic and Political Weekly, 43(31), 27–35.
8. Ministry of Rural Development. (2023). *MGNREGA Annual Report 2022–23*. Government of India.
9. National Commission for Enterprises in the Unorganised Sector (NCEUS). (2008). *Report on Conditions of Work and Promotion of Livelihoods in the Unorganised Sector*. Government of India.