Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 06, Issue: 04 (JULY-AUG, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com

Drought Stress in Crop Plants: Classification, Impacts and Tolerance Mechanisms — A Review

*Agash K
School of Agricultural Sciences, Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan University, India
*Corresponding Author's email: akash70207@gmail.com

Drought is among the most significant abiotic stresses limiting crop productivity worldwide, and its frequency and severity are projected to increase under ongoing climate change. Drought is not a single, uniform phenomenon; it is commonly classified into meteorological, agricultural, hydrological and socio-economic categories, each reflecting a different stage in the propagation of water deficit through the environment and human systems. At the plant level, water deficit disrupts cellular water relations, photosynthesis, hormonal signalling and reactive oxygen species (ROS) homeostasis, ultimately reducing growth, yield and quality. Plants have evolved a diverse array of strategies to cope with limited water availability, broadly grouped as drought escape, drought avoidance, drought tolerance and drought recovery, underpinned by physiological adjustments such as osmotic adjustment, stomatal regulation, root architectural plasticity, antioxidant defence and abscisic acid (ABA)-mediated signalling. This review synthesizes current understanding of drought classification and the physiological, biochemical and molecular basis of drought tolerance mechanisms in crop plants, and highlights their relevance for breeding climate-resilient cultivars.

Keywords: Drought stress; Drought classification; Osmotic adjustment; Abscisic acid; Antioxidant defence; Drought tolerance mechanisms

Introduction

Water is the single most limiting factor for agricultural productivity in rain-fed and even in many irrigated cropping systems. Drought, generally defined as a prolonged period of below-normal water availability arising from a deficit in precipitation relative to evapotranspirational demand, affects a larger area of global arable land than any other abiotic stress (Fahad et al., 2017; Farooq et al., 2009). Climate change models consistently project an increase in the frequency, duration and intensity of drought events in many of the world's major crop-producing regions, making drought resilience a central objective of modern crop improvement programmes (Lobell & Gourdji, 2012). Because drought arises from the interaction of climatic, hydrological, edaphic and anthropogenic factors, it cannot be understood as a single physical event; rather, it is a cascading phenomenon that propagates through the environment over different timescales. A precipitation shortfall first manifests as a meteorological anomaly, which — if prolonged — reduces soil moisture and crop-available water, and eventually reduces river flow and reservoir storage, before finally translating into measurable economic and social impacts. Understanding this classification is essential for interpreting the physiological literature on plant drought responses, since the type, timing, intensity and duration of water deficit strongly determine which tolerance mechanisms are activated in a given crop and growth stage (Mishra & Singh, 2010).

Drought: Concept and Classification

Drought is conventionally classified into four broad, interrelated categories based on the point at which the water deficit is expressed within the hydrological and socio-economic cycle.

Meteorological Drought

Meteorological drought refers to a prolonged deficiency of precipitation relative to a region's long-term average, over a defined period of time. It is the primary, triggering form of drought from which all other types eventually develop, and is typically quantified using indices such as the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) or the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (Mishra & Singh, 2010). Because precipitation thresholds vary regionally, meteorological drought is inherently region-specific — a rainfall deficit that constitutes drought in a humid zone may represent normal aridity in a semi-arid region.

Agricultural Drought

Agricultural drought occurs when soil moisture becomes insufficient to meet the water requirements of crops during a given growth stage, leading to reduced plant-available water in the root zone. It links meteorological water shortage directly to crop performance, and its onset and severity depend not only on rainfall deficit but also on soil water-holding capacity, evapotranspiration demand, crop water requirement and the timing of the deficit relative to sensitive growth stages such as flowering and grain/boll filling (Farooq et al., 2009). Agricultural drought is the category most directly relevant to plant physiological and breeding research, since it is this form of stress that crop tolerance mechanisms have evolved to counter.

Hydrological Drought

Hydrological drought reflects a prolonged deficit in surface and subsurface water resources, including streamflow, groundwater levels, and reservoir or lake storage. It generally lags behind meteorological and agricultural drought, since it depends on the cumulative depletion of stored water over longer periods, and it has significant consequences for irrigation water availability.

Socio-economic Drought

Socio-economic drought arises when the water shortage described by the preceding three categories begins to affect the supply and demand of economic goods, such as food grain, fodder and hydroelectric power. It represents the interface between the physical phenomenon of drought and its consequences for human livelihoods, food security and rural economies (Wilhite & Glantz, 1985). These four categories are sequential and cumulative in nature: a meteorological anomaly that persists develops into agricultural drought, which if prolonged contributes to hydrological drought, ultimately manifesting as socio-economic hardship. From a crop physiology standpoint, drought is further described in terms of its timing (early-season, mid-season or terminal drought), duration (transient versus prolonged) and severity (mild, moderate or severe), all of which determine the plant's physiological and molecular response.

Impact of Drought Stress on Crop Plants

Water deficit disrupts plant function at multiple levels. At the cellular level, loss of turgor pressure impairs cell division and expansion, restricting leaf area development and root elongation (Chaves et al., 2003). Reduced stomatal conductance, employed by plants to limit transpirational water loss, simultaneously restricts CO2 diffusion into the mesophyll, lowering the photosynthetic rate and disrupting the balance between light capture and carbon fixation (Flexas et al., 2006). This imbalance promotes the over-reduction of the photosynthetic electron transport chain and excessive generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide radicals, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals, which damage membrane lipids, proteins and nucleic acids (Farooq et al., 2009). Drought also perturbs hormonal homeostasis, most notably increasing the biosynthesis of abscisic acid (ABA) in roots and its translocation to the shoot, where it triggers stomatal closure and activates a wide suite of drought-responsive genes (Verslues et al., 2006). Prolonged or severe water deficit ultimately reduces biomass accumulation, delays or truncates the reproductive phase, and — particularly when it coincides with flowering or grain/fibre-filling stages — sharply reduces yield and quality (Kramer & Boyer, 1995).

Drought Tolerance Mechanisms in Plants

Plant strategies for coping with limited water availability are generally classified into four broad, non-mutually-exclusive categories: drought escape, drought avoidance, drought tolerance and drought recovery (Levitt, 1980; Basu et al., 2016). Many crops combine elements of more than one strategy, and the relative importance of each depends on the genotype, growth stage and the type of drought encountered.

Drought Escape

Drought escape refers to the ability of a plant to complete its life cycle before the onset of severe water deficit, primarily through early flowering and rapid phenological development. This is achieved through shortened developmental phases and high growth rates during periods of adequate moisture, allowing sensitive reproductive stages to be timed away from the anticipated period of water shortage (Araus et al., 2002). While effective in environments with predictable seasonal drought, this strategy often trades off against yield potential, since a shortened life cycle limits total biomass and resource accumulation.

Drought Avoidance

Drought avoidance encompasses mechanisms that allow a plant to maintain a favourable internal water status despite a limiting external water supply. Key components include:

- Root system plasticity — development of deep, extensively branched root systems that improve access to deeper soil moisture reserves (Comas et al., 2013).
- Stomatal regulation — rapid stomatal closure in response to declining leaf water potential or root-sourced ABA signals, reducing transpirational water loss (Tardieu et al., 2018).
- Leaf-level adaptations — reduced leaf area, leaf rolling, increased cuticular wax deposition and leaf angle adjustments that lower radiation load and transpiration surface (Ludlow, 1989).

These traits reduce water loss and/or enhance water uptake, effectively postponing the onset of tissue dehydration, and are therefore sometimes described collectively as "dehydration postponement" mechanisms.

Drought Tolerance (Dehydration Tolerance)

Drought tolerance refers to the capacity of plant tissues to sustain metabolic function and survive at low internal water potential, and includes both osmotic and antioxidant adjustments.

- Osmotic adjustment — active accumulation of compatible solutes such as proline, glycine betaine, soluble sugars and polyols, which lower cellular osmotic potential, help retain turgor and stabilize proteins and membranes under water deficit (Blum, 2017).
- Antioxidant defence — enhanced activity of enzymatic scavengers (superoxide dismutase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase) and non-enzymatic antioxidants (ascorbate, glutathione, carotenoids, tocopherols) that mitigate oxidative damage caused by ROS accumulation (Reddy et al., 2004).
- Membrane and protein stabilization — accumulation of late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, dehydrins and heat-shock proteins that protect cellular structures from desiccation-induced damage (Hanin et al., 2011).
- Hormonal signalling — ABA-dependent and ABA-independent transcriptional cascades (e.g., the DREB/CBF and bZIP-ABRE pathways) that coordinate the expression of stress-responsive genes governing the responses above (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki & Shinozaki, 2006).

Drought Recovery

Drought recovery describes a plant's capacity to resume normal growth and metabolic activity once favourable water conditions return, and is an increasingly recognized component of overall drought resilience. Genotypes vary considerably in the speed and completeness of stomatal reopening, photosynthetic recovery and regrowth following the relief of water stress, and this trait can meaningfully influence final yield in environments with intermittent rather than continuous drought (Chen et al., 2016).

Implications for Crop Improvement

Because drought tolerance is a complex, multigenic trait shaped by the interaction of escape, avoidance, tolerance and recovery mechanisms, breeding for drought resilience requires integration of physiological phenotyping (root architecture, stomatal behaviour, osmotic adjustment capacity) with genomic and molecular tools. High-throughput phenotyping platforms, marker-assisted selection for quantitative trait loci (QTLs) governing root and water-use traits, and genome-editing approaches targeting key regulatory genes (e.g., ABA-signalling and DREB transcription factor genes) are increasingly used to accelerate the development of drought-resilient cultivars (Fleury et al., 2010). A clear understanding of drought classification and the specific tolerance mechanisms relevant to the target production environment is essential for designing appropriate selection strategies and screening protocols.

Conclusion

Drought is a multi-layered phenomenon that progresses from a meteorological rainfall deficit through agricultural and hydrological stages to broader socio-economic impacts, and crop plants have evolved a correspondingly diverse set of strategies — escape, avoidance, tolerance and recovery — to cope with limited water availability. These strategies operate through interconnected physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms, including root plasticity, stomatal regulation, osmotic adjustment, antioxidant defence and hormone-mediated signalling. A mechanistic understanding of these processes, combined with modern phenotyping and molecular breeding tools, provides a foundation for developing crop varieties capable of sustaining productivity under increasingly water-limited conditions.

References

1. Araus, J.L., Slafer, G.A., Reynolds, M.P. & Royo, C. (2002). Plant breeding and drought in C3 cereals: what should we breed for? Annals of Botany, 89(7), 925–940.
2. Basu, S., Ramegowda, V., Kumar, A. & Pereira, A. (2016). Plant adaptation to drought stress. F1000Research, 5, 1554.
3. Blum, A. (2017). Osmotic adjustment is a prime drought stress adaptive engine in support of plant production. Plant, Cell & Environment, 40(1), 4–10.
4. Chaves, M.M., Maroco, J.P. & Pereira, J.S. (2003). Understanding plant responses to drought — from genes to the whole plant. Functional Plant Biology, 30(3), 239–264.
5. Chen, D., Wang, S., Cao, B. et al. (2016). Genotypic variation in growth and physiological response to drought stress and re-watering reveals the critical role of recovery in drought adaptation. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 1241.
6. Comas, L.H., Becker, S.R., Cruz, V.M., Byrne, P.F. & Dierig, D.A. (2013). Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. Frontiers in Plant Science, 4, 442.
7. Fahad, S., Bajwa, A.A., Nazir, U. et al. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: plant responses and management options. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1147.
8. Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D. & Basra, S.M.A. (2009). Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 29(1), 185–212.
9. Fleury, D., Jefferies, S., Kuchel, H. & Langridge, P. (2010). Genetic and genomic tools to improve drought tolerance in wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany, 61(12), 3211–3222.
10. Flexas, J., Bota, J., Galmes, J., Medrano, H. & Ribas-Carbo, M. (2006). Keeping a positive carbon balance under adverse conditions: responses of photosynthesis and respiration to water stress. Physiologia Plantarum, 127(3), 343–352.

11. Hanin, M., Brini, F., Ebel, C., Toda, Y., Takeda, S. & Masmoudi, K. (2011). Plant dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile proteins for complex mechanisms. Plant Signaling & Behavior, 6(10), 1503–1509.
12. Kramer, P.J. & Boyer, J.S. (1995). Water Relations of Plants and Soils. Academic Press, San Diego.
13. Levitt, J. (1980). Responses of Plants to Environmental Stresses, Vol. II: Water, Radiation, Salt and Other Stresses. Academic Press, New York.
14. Lobell, D.B. & Gourdji, S.M. (2012). The influence of climate change on global crop productivity. Plant Physiology, 160(4), 1686–1697.
15. Ludlow, M.M. (1989). Strategies of response to water stress. In: Structural and Functional Responses to Environmental Stresses, pp. 269–281. SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague.
16. Mishra, A.K. & Singh, V.P. (2010). A review of drought concepts. Journal of Hydrology, 391(1–2), 202–216.
17. Reddy, A.R., Chaitanya, K.V. & Vivekanandan, M. (2004). Drought-induced responses of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. Journal of Plant Physiology, 161(11), 1189–1202.
18. Tardieu, F., Simonneau, T. & Parent, B. (2018). Modelling the coordination of the controls of stomatal aperture, transpiration, leaf growth, and abscisic acid: update and extension of the Tardieu-Davies model. Journal of Experimental Botany, 69(9), 2077–2088.
19. Verslues, P.E., Agarwal, M., Katiyar-Agarwal, S., Zhu, J. & Zhu, J.K. (2006). Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. The Plant Journal, 45(4), 523–539.
20. Wilhite, D.A. & Glantz, M.H. (1985). Understanding the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions. Water International, 10(3), 111–120.
21. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. & Shinozaki, K. (2006). Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 57, 781–803.