



Non-Conventional Feed Resources: An Alternative for Sustainable Livestock Feeding

*Kiran Shinde¹, Suhana Parvin Muquit² and Chandrakant Kale³

¹Division of Animal Nutrition, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Physiology & Climatology Division, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Izatnagar, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Division of Veterinary Parasitology, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

*Corresponding Author's email: vetkiran12@gmail.com

Feed is one of the most important inputs in livestock production, and the availability and cost of feed directly influence the profitability of animal farming. In India, livestock production faces challenges related to feed shortages, increasing feed costs, seasonal availability of fodder and competition between livestock and humans for certain feed resources. Therefore, identifying alternative and locally available feed resources has become increasingly important (Sampath et al., 2005). Non-conventional feed resources (NCFR) are feeds that have not traditionally been used in animal feeding or are not normally included in commercially produced livestock rations (Devendra, 1988). These resources represent a diverse group of materials originating from agricultural activities, agro-industries, forests, food-processing industries and animal-based industries. Their utilization can convert materials that might otherwise be discarded into useful components of livestock diets. The seminar presentation similarly describes NCFR as resources that have not traditionally been used in animal feeding and includes materials such as neem cake, slaughterhouse by-products, cassava leaf meal, sal seed meal, mahua cake, sweet potato, mango seed kernel, tea waste and guar meal.

What are non-conventional feed resources?

The term NCFR covers a wide range of feed materials. They may include plant-based resources, animal-origin materials, agro-industrial by-products and other locally available materials. FAO has highlighted that NCFR can include feeds from perennial crops, single-cell proteins, agro-industrial by-products, animal-origin materials and poor-quality fibrous residues (Devendra, 1988). Examples include guar meal, rubber seed cake, neem cake, niger cake, sunflower meal, kidney bean chuni, cassava products, mango seed kernel, sal seed meal, palm flour, sugarcane bagasse, sugarcane tops, jackfruit waste, seaweed meal and brewery waste. The presentation also categorizes several resources according to their potential as protein- or energy-rich feed materials. This diversity is particularly important in regions where conventional feed ingredients are expensive or seasonally limited. Locally available resources can provide farmers with additional options for formulating economical diets.

Why are NCFR important?

One of the major reasons for exploring NCFR is the continuing challenge of maintaining an adequate supply of affordable livestock feed. Increasing feed prices can substantially increase the cost of livestock production. At the same time, competition between livestock and humans for conventional food grains creates an additional challenge. The presentation identifies several factors supporting the use of NCFR, including serious feed deficits, rising production costs, low animal productivity, the need to meet animal-protein requirements, changing land-use

patterns and the need to reduce competition between livestock and humans for food. In India, feed cost can account for a major proportion of the total cost of livestock production. Sampath et al. (2005) emphasized that efficient utilization of available feed resources and region-specific feeding strategies are important for improving livestock productivity and reducing production constraints. Thus, NCFR can provide an opportunity to partially replace expensive conventional feed ingredients while making use of materials that are locally available.

Turning waste into feed

An important feature of many NCFR is that they originate as by-products or residues of agricultural and industrial activities. Materials that have little or no direct economic value may contain appreciable amounts of nutrients and can potentially be converted into useful livestock feed after appropriate processing. FAO has documented a wide range of agro-industrial and non-conventional feed materials in Asia, including cassava leaves and waste, cocoa pod husk, coffee residues, oil-palm by-products, pineapple waste, rice residues, sugarcane tops and bagasse, wheat residues, poultry waste, guar meal, mango seed kernel, neem seed cake and rubber seed meal (FAO, 1985). This approach also supports the principle of resource recycling, in which agricultural and food-processing residues are returned to the production system rather than being discarded. Recent reviews similarly emphasize the potential of alternative feed resources to contribute to more sustainable livestock production systems (Gowda et al., 2021).

Protein-rich and energy-rich resources

NCFR are not nutritionally identical. Some are valuable mainly because of their protein content, while others can contribute energy or fibre to the diet. For example, the presentation lists guar meal, rubber seed cake, neem cake, niger cake and sunflower meal among protein-rich resources, while materials such as cassava products, mango seed kernel and palm-derived products may contribute energy or other nutrients. However, the nutrient composition of alternative feed resources can vary considerably according to plant variety, geographical location, processing method, maturity, storage and other factors. Therefore, nutritional evaluation should precede their inclusion in livestock diets rather than assuming that all materials have a fixed feeding value. Prusty et al. (2019), for example, reviewed several non-conventional meals and cakes used in ruminant nutrition, including sal seed meal, neem cake, castor oil cake, karanj cake, rubber seed meal, guar meal and palm kernel cake. The authors highlighted differences in nutritive value as well as the importance of processing and detoxification before safe incorporation into diets.

The major challenge: anti-nutritional factors

Although NCFR have considerable potential, their use is not without limitations. One of the most important concerns is the presence of anti-nutritional factors and toxic substances. Depending on the feed resource, these may interfere with nutrient digestion, absorption or metabolism and may reduce animal performance. Some unconventional oilseed meals and plant products contain compounds that limit their safe inclusion in livestock diets (Chhabra and Singh, 2005). The presentation specifically identifies low nutritive value, anti-nutritional factors, high collection costs, processing difficulties, inadequate technical knowledge, uncertain marketability and mould growth such as aflatoxin contamination among the major limitations of NCFR. Mycotoxin contamination is particularly important because contaminated feeds can adversely affect animal health and productivity. Chhabra and Singh (2005) noted that feed contaminants may include plant toxins, mycotoxins, pesticides, heavy metals and other harmful substances, emphasizing the importance of feed safety and appropriate processing.

Processing can improve their feeding value

The presence of anti-nutritional factors does not necessarily mean that an NCFR cannot be used. Appropriate physical, chemical or biological processing can improve its feeding value and reduce some undesirable components. The presentation identifies several methods, including grinding, pelleting, chopping, chemical treatment with sodium hydroxide, urea or calcium hydroxide, ensiling and feed-block technology.

Physical processing such as grinding and chopping can improve handling and facilitate mixing with other feed ingredients. Pelleting can improve physical characteristics and facilitate uniform consumption. Chemical treatment may be useful for improving the utilization of certain fibrous materials, while ensiling can help preserve suitable high-moisture feed resources. FAO guidelines emphasize that chemical, physical and biological treatments can be evaluated for their ability to improve the nutritive value of crop residues and by-products, but such treatments should be assessed carefully for their nutritional, economic and practical feasibility (FAO, 1985).

Supplementation makes a difference

Another important principle is that an NCFR does not necessarily have to be fed as a complete replacement for conventional feed. It can be incorporated strategically into a balanced ration. The presentation recommends supplementation with adequate nutrients to improve the nutritional value of low-quality feeds. For example, a feed resource that is deficient in protein may be combined with a suitable protein source, while a low-energy material can be incorporated into a ration containing adequate energy sources. This allows the strengths of different feed ingredients to complement one another. This concept is consistent with the broader principle of balanced ration formulation, in which individual ingredients are selected according to their nutrient contribution rather than used in isolation.

Economic importance for farmers

The economic value of an NCFR depends not only on its nutrient composition but also on its availability, collection, transportation, processing and storage costs. A feed resource may appear inexpensive at its point of origin but become uneconomical if it must be transported over long distances or undergo expensive processing. The presentation therefore correctly identifies collection cost and processing difficulty as important limitations. Region-specific utilization is particularly important. Sampath et al. (2005) emphasized the importance of developing feeding strategies according to locally available feed resources and production systems in India. Therefore, the best NCFR for one region may not necessarily be the best choice for another region.

A sustainable approach to livestock feeding

The use of NCFR can contribute to a more sustainable livestock production system by reducing wastage and improving the utilization of locally available resources. Alternative feed resources can also reduce dependence on some conventional ingredients and potentially reduce competition between livestock and human food systems. Katoch et al. (2019) highlighted the potential of NCFR to help address feed shortages, reduce competition between humans and livestock for food resources and support sustainable rural livestock production. Recent work on alternative feed resources has further expanded this concept to include fruit and vegetable residues, brewery residues, aquatic resources and other locally available materials, provided that their nutritional value and safety are properly evaluated (Gowda et al., 2021).

Use with caution, not indiscriminately

The important message is that non-conventional does not automatically mean inferior, and it also does not mean that every unconventional material is safe. Each feed resource should be evaluated for nutrient composition, digestibility, anti-nutritional factors, toxic substances, contamination, processing requirements and economic feasibility. This is particularly important when materials of plant or animal origin are used. Proper identification, hygienic handling, processing and storage are essential to minimize risks associated with contamination and deterioration (Chhabra and Singh, 2005). The objective should therefore be to use NCFR as part of a balanced and scientifically formulated ration, rather than simply replacing conventional ingredients without considering nutrient requirements.

Conclusion

Non-conventional feed resources offer an important opportunity to improve the availability and affordability of livestock feed. Agricultural residues, agro-industrial by-products, unconventional plant materials and suitable animal-origin resources can provide valuable nutrients when properly evaluated and processed. Their major advantages include availability, potential cost reduction, recycling of agricultural and industrial residues and reduction of competition for conventional food resources. At the same time, their limitations—including low nutritive value, anti-nutritional factors, toxins, contamination, processing requirements and collection costs—must be carefully addressed. Therefore, the successful use of NCFR depends on proper nutritional evaluation, appropriate processing, safe inclusion levels and balanced ration formulation. As highlighted in the presentation, non-conventional feeds should be used judiciously along with conventional feed ingredients to reduce feeding expenditure and improve returns from livestock production. With scientific evaluation and appropriate processing, what is often considered a waste material can become a valuable component of the livestock feeding system.

References

1. Chhabra, A. and Singh, P. (2005). Antinutritional factors and contaminants in animal feeds and their detoxification: a review. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 75(1).
2. Devendra, C. (1988). *Non-conventional feed resources in Asia and the Pacific*. Proceedings of the FAO/ILRI consultation on animal feed resources.
3. Gowda, N.K.S., Anandan, S., Giridhar, K., Rao, S.B.N. and Prasad, K.S. (2021). Alternate feed resources for safe usage in feeding practices. *Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, 52(1), pp.7–13. DOI: 10.51966/jvas.2021.52.1.7-13
4. Katoch, R., Tripathi, A. and Sood, S. (2019). Possibilities of non-conventional feed resources in livestock feeding – a review. *Forage Research*.
5. Prusty, S., Swain, P.S. and Sharma, V.K. (2019). Non-conventional meals and cakes in ruminants. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 89(10). DOI: 10.56093/ijans.v89i10.94994
6. Sampath, K.T., Ramachandra, K.S. and Anandan, S. (2005). Livestock feed and fodder resources of India and strategies for their judicious utilization: a review. *The Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 75(12).
7. FAO (1985). *Better utilization of crop residues and by-products in animal feeding: research guidelines*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
8. FAO (1991). *Animal Feed Resources in Asia and the Pacific*. Bangkok: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.