



Infoxication: When Too Much Information Becomes a Barrier to Learning in Agricultural Education

Shubham Gaurav, *Sandeep Kumar, Sonam Kumari and Aarni Singh

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Extension Education, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur (Bihar), India

*Corresponding Author's email: sandeepsolanki5000@gmail.com

Digital agriculture has placed an ocean of information at every student's fingertips-AI chatbots, journals, YouTube lectures, WhatsApp groups, and endless notifications. Yet more information is not the same as more learning. This article examines "infocication," or information overload, as it affects agricultural students, research scholars, extension professionals, and teachers. It explores the sources and symptoms of infocication, its impact on research and critical thinking, the double-edged role of generative AI, and the part extension education can play in building digital literacy. It closes with practical strategies and future directions for building calmer, smarter learning ecosystems in agricultural universities.

Introduction

Priya opens her laptop at 9 p.m., determined to finish her seminar on precision irrigation. She types a question into an AI chatbot and gets a crisp answer in seconds. Encouraged, she opens Google Scholar and finds 40,000 results. She switches to YouTube for "one quick explainer video," and forty-five minutes later she has watched three unrelated videos recommended by the algorithm. Her phone buzzes, a WhatsApp group has shared seventeen new messages about a scholarship deadline. Instagram shows a reel on "5 AI tools every agri-student must know." By midnight, Priya has consumed more information than her grandfather's entire village might have accessed in a month fifty years ago and she still hasn't written a single line of her seminar. Priya's evening is not exceptional; it is now ordinary. Agricultural education, like every other field, has been transformed by digital technology over the past decade. Krishi Vigyan Kendras run WhatsApp advisory groups, universities host online repositories and digital libraries, extension portals push daily weather and market alerts, and classrooms increasingly blend lectures with AI-based learning assistants. Access to knowledge has never been easier or more democratic. A student in a small agricultural college today can read the same peer-reviewed journal as a scientist at a premier institute, watch a Cornell University lecture on soil science, and ask an AI model to explain photosynthesis in Hindi, all before breakfast. But somewhere between "information is available" and "information is understood," something is going wrong for many learners. Attention fragments. Deadlines slip. Anxiety creeps in. This is the paradox this article explores: in an age of abundant information, agricultural students and researchers are struggling not because they lack access to knowledge, but because they have too much of it, arriving too fast, from too many directions, with too little guidance on what to trust. This condition has a name infocication and understanding it is the first step toward learning better in a digital age.

What is Infocication?

Infocication is a blend of the words "information" and "intoxication." It describes the mental fatigue, confusion, and reduced ability to concentrate that result when the volume of information a person is exposed to exceeds their capacity to process it meaningfully. The

more familiar English term for the same phenomenon is "information overload." The idea is older than the internet. As early as 1962, scholars in management studies were describing the risks of overwhelming decision-makers with data, a concern later popularized by Bertram Gross in his 1964 work on organizational management and by futurist Alvin Toffler, who warned in his influential 1970 book that when people are flooded with novel, fast-changing information, their predictive accuracy plummets and they can no longer make the reasonably correct judgments that rational behaviour depends on. The Spanish-language term "infoxicación" was coined later, in the late 1990s, by consultant and academic Alfons Cornellà, precisely as blogs and the early internet began accelerating the pace at which ordinary people encountered new content. It is important to separate two very different things: *access* to information and *being overwhelmed* by it. Access is almost entirely good news — it means a student in a remote agricultural college can now read the same research that once sat behind the walls of elite institutions. Overload, on the other hand, is what happens when access outpaces a person's ability to filter, evaluate, and apply what they find. As one recent scoping review of the field put it, information overload occurs specifically when the volume of information a person receives exceeds their processing capacity, harming the quality of their decisions rather than improving it (Roetzel, 2019). Put simply: information is a resource, but like water, too much of it too fast causes flooding rather than growth.

Why Agricultural Students Are Especially Vulnerable

Agricultural education sits at an unusual crossroads of digital exposure. Unlike students in many other disciplines, agricultural learners must simultaneously track scientific literature, government schemes, weather and market data, extension advisories, and a fast-moving stream of agri-tech innovation often across multiple languages and platforms.

Consider the layers a typical agricultural student now navigates in a single week:

- **Digital classrooms and LMS platforms**, which push assignments, recorded lectures, and quiz reminders around the clock.
- **Online journals and scientific databases**, where a single literature search can return thousands of results with no built-in filter for relevance or quality.
- **AI tools** such as ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, and Perplexity, which answer questions instantly but can also generate plausible-sounding but incorrect information.
- **YouTube learning**, where useful lecture content sits beside autoplay recommendations that pull attention toward unrelated videos.
- **Extension portals and government apps**, which are genuinely useful but often duplicate content across multiple platforms (state agriculture department apps, ICAR portals, Krishi Vigyan Kendra pages).
- **Social media**, where agricultural content competes with entertainment, news, and personal messages for the same slice of attention.
- **Agricultural mobile apps** for weather, pest alerts, mandi prices, and soil health, each generating its own notifications.
- **Continuous notifications**, which interrupt deep work dozens of times a day.
- **Peer pressure to "stay updated,"** particularly around new AI tools, which creates a low-grade anxiety that one is always falling behind.

None of these sources is harmful in isolation. The problem is cumulative: a student's attention is being pulled in a dozen directions before she has even opened her textbook. Research on undergraduate students shows that heavy dependence on smartphones and social media is linked to higher social anxiety, and through mechanisms such as fear of missing out and reduced sense of belonging to poorer perceived academic performance (Zeng et al., 2022). Agricultural students, who are also expected to be early adopters of precision-farming apps and AI advisory tools, face a double burden: the general digital overload common to all students, plus a discipline-specific expectation to constantly track fast-evolving agri-tech.

Major Sources of Information Overload

It helps to look at exactly how each digital channel contributes to the problem.

Generative AI tools can produce a well-structured, confident-sounding answer to almost any agricultural question in seconds. This is a genuine time-saver, but it also means students receive large blocks of synthesized information without going through the slower process of reading, comparing, and evaluating multiple sources process that itself builds understanding.

Google searches for a single topic, such as "integrated pest management in cotton," can return millions of results of wildly uneven quality, mixing peer-reviewed research with marketing content, outdated advice, and misinformation, with no obvious signal for which is trustworthy.

Scientific databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, and AgEcon Search are indispensable for research, but their sheer scale can be paralyzing for a young scholar starting a literature review, who may not yet have the skill to narrow a search efficiently.

YouTube offers excellent explainer content from universities and experts, but its recommendation algorithm is optimized for watch time, not learning outcomes, and tends to pull viewers toward tangential content.

WhatsApp and Telegram groups, widely used by Krishi Vigyan Kendras and university departments to share circulars, weather alerts, and study material, often generate dozens of messages a day, many of them redundant, forwarded, or unrelated to the original purpose of the group.

Facebook, Instagram, and X (Twitter) blend genuinely useful agricultural science communication with entertainment, opinion, and noise, making it hard for a browsing student to know when to stop scrolling.

Agricultural mobile apps, from state e-governance platforms to private agri-tech apps, often overlap in function, so a student or extension worker may end up tracking the same weather or price information across four or five separate apps.

Email overload affects scholars and faculty in particular, with journal alerts, conference calls, and administrative notices competing for attention in an inbox that never empties.

Taken together, these channels do not just add up, they compound one another, because switching between them (a habit known as task-switching) itself carries a cognitive cost, leaving less mental bandwidth for the actual work of learning.

Symptoms of Infocipation

Infocipation rarely announces itself directly. It shows up instead as a cluster of everyday symptoms that students and researchers often blame on themselves rather than on their information environment:

- **Difficulty concentrating** — the mind keeps drifting toward the next notification rather than settling into deep work.
- **Mental fatigue** — a tiredness that comes not from physical exertion but from processing too many inputs.
- **Decision fatigue** — after many small choices (which article to read, which video to trust, which AI answer to accept), the quality of subsequent decisions declines; research on decision fatigue notes that this exhaustion pushes people toward superficial strategies such as rote memorisation over genuine understanding, and toward procrastination or giving up on difficult problems altogether.
- **Reduced creativity** — when the mind is full of other people's ideas and alerts, there is less space left for original thought.
- **Confusion** — conflicting advice from different sources (a WhatsApp forward versus a research paper versus an AI answer) leaves the learner unsure what to believe.
- **Stress and anxiety** — the felt need to keep up with an endless stream of updates creates a background hum of pressure.
- **Procrastination** — paradoxically, having too many options and too much unsorted information often leads to avoidance rather than action; studies link information overload

among students to greater social fatigue and irrational procrastination, including delayed bedtimes and disrupted sleep.

- **Lower productivity** — despite spending more hours "studying" or "researching," actual output — pages written, concepts mastered — often falls.

Impact on Agricultural Learning and Research

The consequences of infoxication go well beyond a bad night's sleep. They strike at the heart of what agricultural education is meant to build: sound judgment and applied problem-solving.

Academic performance suffers when attention is fragmented across many low-value inputs instead of concentrated on core coursework; the meta-analytic evidence linking heavier smartphone and social media use to weaker academic outcomes among university students is now substantial.

Literature reviews, a cornerstone of agricultural research, become shallow rather than deep when a scholar skims dozens of abstracts pulled from an overwhelming search instead of engaging closely with a well-chosen, manageable set of studies.

Research writing suffers similarly: a student who has absorbed fragments from twenty tabs and an AI chat session often produces a patchwork draft rather than a coherent argument built from real understanding of the material.

Critical thinking is arguably the biggest casualty. When answers arrive instantly and abundantly, there is less incentive to interrogate them. A CHI 2024 study of student experiences with ChatGPT in higher education found that students were frequently troubled by the tool's hallucinations — confident-sounding but fabricated information, including invented sources — yet many still leaned on it heavily for coursework because of the sheer convenience it offered (Kim et al., 2024).

Innovation requires the mental space to make unusual connections between ideas; a constantly interrupted, notification-driven mind has less room for the kind of unstructured thinking that produces genuinely novel solutions to farming problems.

Assignment quality and research productivity both decline when time that should go into analysis and writing is consumed instead by an endless, unfocused search for "just one more source."

Decision-making, whether it is a scholar choosing a research design or a farmer deciding which advisory to trust, becomes harder rather than easier once a certain threshold of information is crossed. This is not unique to education: a 2025 study of Chinese agri-food consumers found that information overload measurably worsened the quality of their purchasing decisions online, showing that the same overload dynamic that troubles a student in a library also affects a shopper comparing food products on an app (Ying et al., 2025). If overload can distort something as concrete as a grocery purchase, its capacity to distort complex academic and research judgments is worth taking seriously.

Artificial Intelligence: A Double-Edged Sword

No discussion of infoxication in agricultural education would be complete without a candid look at generative AI, because it is simultaneously one of the best antidotes to information overload and one of its biggest new sources.

The benefits are real. AI tools can summarise a dense research paper in seconds, helping a student decide quickly whether it is worth reading in full. They can draft and polish academic writing, translate agricultural advisories into regional languages for extension workers, assist with statistical interpretation, and act as an always-available brainstorming partner for research proposals. Used well, AI can actually reduce overload by acting as a filter — compressing forty search results into one useful synthesis.

The challenges are equally real. AI "hallucinations" — fluent, confident answers that are simply wrong — are well documented. One analysis of AI-drafted research proposals found that a sizeable share of the references the tool generated did not exist at all, and a similar study of AI-written medical articles found that under half of the cited references were both

real and accurate (Emsley, 2023, as cited in Faculty Focus, 2024). For an agricultural science student citing crop yield data or pesticide recommendations, a single fabricated statistic or invented source can be far more damaging than simply not finding an answer.

Overreliance is the second major risk. A recent study of student AI use in academic writing found that while AI genuinely lowers the cognitive burden of drafting, this same convenience risks producing overdependence, weakening students' self-efficacy and their deeper cognitive engagement with the material (Vogelsmeier et al., 2026). Similarly, a scoping review of ChatGPT's ethical implications in higher education flagged concerns that heavy reliance on AI-generated content could erode students' sense of ownership and responsibility for their own academic work.

The honest conclusion is that AI is neither villain nor saviour — it is a powerful tool whose effect depends entirely on how it is used. A student who uses AI as a first draft to critique and verify is building skill. A student who copies its output unchecked into a seminar report is quietly outsourcing the very thinking that agricultural education is meant to develop. Encouragingly, evidence also shows that when students are explicitly trained to check AI output for errors and inconsistencies, they develop stronger fact-checking and verification habits rather than weaker ones (ScienceDirect, 2026) — which points squarely toward the role of teachers and extension educators in shaping how these tools are used.

The Role of Agricultural Extension

Agricultural extension has always had one central job: turning scattered knowledge into usable, trustworthy guidance for people who need to make real decisions on real farms. That exact skill set — filtering, verifying, and translating — is precisely what is needed to help students and farmers cope with infoxication.

Extension educators and university teachers can take on several practical roles:

- **Building digital literacy** as a core, taught skill rather than an assumed one — helping students distinguish a peer-reviewed journal from a marketing blog, and a verified government advisory from an unverified forward.
- **Teaching information filtering**, such as narrowing database searches with specific keywords, date ranges, and subject filters instead of scrolling through unfiltered results.
- **Modelling source evaluation**, using simple, teachable checks (who wrote this, when, and what evidence backs it up) before accepting any claim, AI-generated or otherwise.
- **Promoting evidence-based learning**, anchoring classroom and advisory content in verified research and field trial data rather than the latest viral claim.
- **Encouraging responsible AI use**, treating AI tools the way a good extension worker treats any single informant: useful, but always to be cross-checked against other sources.
- **Strengthening knowledge management**, by consolidating scattered advisories into fewer, well-organised, trusted channels — for instance, a single verified university WhatsApp broadcast list rather than five overlapping groups.

Institutions such as Krishi Vigyan Kendras, State Agricultural Universities, and ICAR institutes are already experimenting with structured digital advisory systems, including interactive voice response systems that deliver curated, verified information rather than an open, unfiltered data stream — approach research on digital agricultural extension has shown can improve real-world technology adoption among farmers (Cole & Fernando, 2021, as cited in Fabregas et al., 2022). The same principle — curated delivery over open-ended access — applies just as usefully inside a classroom.

Practical Strategies to Overcome Infoxication

The good news is that infoxication, unlike many academic challenges, responds well to fairly simple behavioural changes. A few practical habits can make a significant difference:

- **Set clear search goals** before opening a browser or database — decide what specific question you are trying to answer before you start searching.
- **Use trusted sources first**, such as institutional repositories, ICAR journals, or recommended textbooks, before widening the net.

- **Verify AI outputs** against at least one independent, citable source before using them in an assignment or report.
- **Limit unnecessary notifications** by turning off non-essential app alerts during study blocks.
- **Practice digital detox**, setting aside fixed, phone-free hours each day for focused work or rest.
- **Use time blocking**, dedicating specific windows of the day to specific tasks — research, writing, or catching up on advisories — rather than toggling between them all day.
- **Adopt reference management tools** such as Zotero or Mendeley to organise research material instead of relying on memory or scattered browser tabs.
- **Build the habit of critical evaluation**, pausing to ask "who says this, and how do they know?" before accepting any claim.
- **Practice mindful social media use**, following a small number of genuinely useful agricultural accounts rather than an ever-growing, undifferentiated feed.
- **Organise digital notes** into a simple, consistent system (by topic or by course) rather than letting screenshots and links pile up unsorted.

Building Digital Information Literacy

Beyond individual habits, agricultural education systems need to build digital information literacy as a taught competency, not an assumed one. This includes:

Identifying credible sources — understanding the difference between peer-reviewed research, government data, extension advisories, and unverified social content.

Fact-checking — a skill that researchers describe as "lateral reading": instead of judging a source by how it looks, checking what other, independent sources say about the same claim before trusting it. Studies show that direct instruction in this technique measurably improves students' ability to spot unreliable information online (Brodsky et al., 2023).

Evaluating research quality — teaching students to look for sample size, methodology, and peer-review status rather than accepting a study's conclusions at face value.

Ethical AI use — being transparent about where and how AI tools were used in an assignment or thesis, and treating AI output as a draft to be checked, not a finished answer.

Responsible information sharing — pausing before forwarding an unverified WhatsApp message about a pesticide ban or a new subsidy scheme, given how easily misinformation can spread through exactly the same channels used for legitimate advisories.

Avoiding misinformation — recognising that agricultural misinformation (fake pest alerts, unverified yield claims, dubious "miracle" fertilizer products) can cause real financial harm to farmers, which makes digital literacy in this field a matter of livelihood, not just academic accuracy.

Conclusion

Priya, from our opening scene, does not need a faster internet connection or one more app. She needs what every agricultural student, scholar, and extension professional now needs: the discipline to choose fewer, better sources, the skill to verify what she finds, and the patience to think before she scrolls to the next tab. In today's digital age, success depends not on accessing more information but on selecting, evaluating, organizing, and applying the right information effectively. That is the quiet skill agricultural education must now teach alongside agronomy and animal science — and it may prove to be one of the most valuable lessons a modern agricultural graduate ever learns.

References

1. Arnold, M., Goldschmitt, M., & Rigotti, T. (2023). Dealing with information overload: A comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1122200. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1122200>
2. Brodsky, J. E., Brooks, P. J., Pavlounis, D., & Johnston, J. L. (2023). Instruction increases Canadian students' preference for and use of lateral reading strategies to fact-

- check online information. *AERA Open*, 9(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584231192106>
3. Cole, S., & Fernando, A. N. (2021). 'Mobile'izing agricultural advice: Technology adoption, diffusion, and sustainability. *The Economic Journal*, 131(633), 192–219.
 4. Eppler, M. J., & Mengis, J. (2004). The concept of information overload: A review of literature from organization science, accounting, marketing, MIS, and related disciplines. *The Information Society*, 20(5), 325–344.
 5. Fabregas, R., Kremer, M., & Schilbach, F. (2022). Digital agricultural extension for development. In *The Sustainable Development Goals Series* (pp. 187–210). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-86065-3_8
 6. Gross, B. M. (1964). *The managing of organizations: The administrative struggle*. Free Press of Glencoe.
 7. Kim, J., Yu, S., Detrick, R., & Li, N. (2024). The promise and peril of ChatGPT in higher education: Opportunities, challenges, and design implications. In *Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–18). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642785>
 8. Faculty Focus. (2024, May 15). *Mitigating hallucinations in LLMs for community college classrooms: Strategies to ensure reliable and trustworthy AI-powered learning tools*. <https://www.facultyfocus.com/articles/teaching-with-technology-articles/mitigating-hallucinations-in-llms-for-community-college-classrooms-strategies-to-ensure-reliable-and-trustworthy-ai-powered-learning-tools/>
 9. Global Council for Behavioral Science. (2025, June 2). *The neuroscience of decision fatigue: Why we make worse choices at the end of the day*. <https://gc-bs.org/articles/the-neuroscience-of-decision-fatigue/>
 10. Roetzel, P. G. (2019). Information overload in the information age: A review of the literature from business administration, business psychology, and related disciplines with a bibliometric approach and framework development. *Business Research*, 12(2), 479–522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-018-0069-z>
 11. Skulmowski, A., & Xu, K. M. (2021). Understanding cognitive load in digital and online learning: A new perspective on extraneous cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(1), 171–196. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09624-7>
 12. Sweller, J., van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Paas, F. (2019). Cognitive architecture and instructional design: 20 years later. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31(2), 261–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09465-5>
 13. Vogelsmeier, L. V. D. E., et al. (2026). Hooked on help: Student overreliance on ChatGPT in higher education. [Preprint/journal article]. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/398398981>
 14. Ying, X., et al. (2025). Impact of information overload on consumer decision-making in the agri-food sector: A stimulus-organism-response theory perspective. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.70031>
 15. Zeng, Y., Zhang, J., Wei, J., & Li, S. (2022). The impact of undergraduates' social isolation on smartphone addiction: The roles of academic anxiety and social media use. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(23), 15903. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192315903>
 16. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. (2024). Integration of ICT in agricultural extension services: A review. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(12). <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i123146>
 17. Indian Journal of Extension Education. (2024). Development and validation of a farmer's focused digital literacy scale. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*.
 18. American Psychological Association. (2024, September). How to teach students critical thinking skills to combat misinformation online. *Monitor on Psychology*. <https://www.apa.org/monitor/2024/09/media-literacy-misinformation>