Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 06, Issue: 05 (SEP-OCT, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com

From Fuel to Feed: Turning Biofuel Co-Products into Sustainable Livestock Nutrition-A Circular Approach to Converting Industrial Co-Products into Valuable Animal Feed

*Kiran Shinde[1], Suhana Parvin Muquit[2] and Chandrakant Kale[3]
[1]Division of Animal Nutrition, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Izatnagar, Bareilly, U.P, India
[2]Physiology & Climatology Division, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI), Izatnagar, Bareilly, U.P, India
[3]Division of Veterinary Parasitology, Nagpur Veterinary College, Nagpur
*Corresponding Author's email: vetkiran12@gmail.com

The growing demand for energy, food and sustainable agricultural production has created a need to use biological resources more efficiently. Biofuels, particularly bioethanol and biodiesel, are increasingly produced from agricultural commodities such as grains, sugar crops and oilseeds. These industries generate a range of co-products that, when properly evaluated and processed, can become useful resources for livestock feeding.This creates an important opportunity for the livestock sector. Instead of viewing biofuel co-products simply as industrial residues, they can be considered potential sources of protein, energy and other nutrients. Their use can broaden the feed-resource base, reduce dependence on conventional ingredients and contribute to a more circular agricultural economy. The central idea is simple: agricultural resources can produce both renewable energy and valuable animal feed.

Bioethanol Co-products: DDGS as a Valuable Feed Resource

Among the major co-products of grain-based ethanol production is distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS). During ethanol production, much of the starch in the grain is fermented to ethanol, while several of the remaining nutrients become concentrated in the co-product. As a result, DDGS can provide useful amounts of protein, energy, fibre and minerals for livestock diets. DDGS has been evaluated extensively as a feed ingredient for cattle, buffaloes, pigs and other livestock. The supplied presentation includes studies examining its effects on ruminal fermentation, protozoal populations, milk production, milk composition, body weight and methane production in lactating cattle. These studies demonstrate the potential of DDGS to partially replace conventional feed ingredients when diets are properly formulated.

DDGS: Valuable, but Quality Matters

The use of DDGS requires careful attention to quality. Its nutrient composition can vary with the original grain, ethanol-processing conditions and the efficiency of nutrient recovery. Therefore, the feeding value of DDGS should not be assumed to be identical across sources. The presentation highlights potential concerns associated with DDGS, including mycotoxins, antibiotic or pesticide residues, harmful bacteria, oxidation of residual oil and high sulphur concentrations. Excessive sulphur is particularly important in ruminants because it can contribute to neurological disorders such as polioencephalomalacia and may interfere with the utilization of selenium and vitamin E. Thus, DDGS should be regarded as a valuable feed ingredient that requires appropriate quality control, nutrient analysis and formulation rather than as a feed that can be incorporated at unrestricted levels.

Brewers' Spent Grain: From Brewery Residue to Livestock Feed

The concept of utilizing industrial co-products extends beyond ethanol production. Brewing generates substantial quantities of spent grain, which contains nutrients that can be recovered through animal feeding. The presentation reports an evaluation in crossbred cows in which 50% of mustard cake was replaced with dried brewers' spent grain. Milk yield did not differ significantly between the treatment groups in the reported study. Such findings illustrate the potential of agro-industrial co-products to partially substitute conventional feed ingredients and reduce the amount of potentially useful material that is otherwise discarded. The broader principle is important: a material considered a residue by one industry may represent a valuable nutritional resource for another.

Biodiesel Co-products: Beyond Fuel Production

Biodiesel is produced mainly from lipid-rich agricultural materials such as soybean, canola and other oilseeds. The process generates several co-products, including glycerol and oilseed meals or cakes. Glycerol, also called glycerine, is a viscous, colourless, sweet-tasting co-product of biodiesel production. It can serve as an energy source in livestock diets and has attracted particular interest in dairy cattle nutrition. The presentation describes its use in dairy diets, including applications during periods of high metabolic demand after calving and as a nutritional approach related to ketosis prevention. However, inclusion level remains critical. Excessive glycerol inclusion may reduce dry matter intake and animal performance. Therefore, its value depends on appropriate dose, diet composition and animal production stage.

Oilseed Cakes: Expanding the Protein Feed Basket

Oil extraction leaves behind protein-rich meals or cakes that can potentially be used in livestock diets. Such materials are particularly valuable when they can replace part of the conventional protein sources used in animal feeding. The presentation includes work evaluating palm kernel cake as a replacement for cottonseed cake in growing Nili-Ravi buffalo male calves. Under the conditions of the reported study, palm kernel cake could replace cottonseed cake without harmful effects on growth performance. The use of alternative oilseed cakes can therefore help expand the protein-feed resource base and may provide economically attractive options where conventional protein ingredients are costly or less available.

The Toxicity Challenge: Not Every Co-product Is Safe

The greatest limitation to the use of some non-conventional oilseed meals is the presence of toxic or anti-nutritional compounds. Nutritional potential alone does not make a material suitable for livestock feeding. The presentation identifies phorbol esters as the major toxic factor in Jatropha curcas seeds, oil and cake. Other non-edible oilseed plants may contain compounds such as ricin, ricinine, cyanogenic glycosides, cardiac glycosides, azadirachtin, karanjin, tannins, phytates and protease inhibitors.mThese compounds can restrict the direct use of the resulting meals in livestock rations. Appropriate processing or detoxification may reduce specific hazards, but such methods must be scientifically validated. Therefore, safety evaluation, identification of toxic compounds and determination of safe inclusion levels are essential before feeding these materials to animals.

Microalgae: A Promising Next-generation Feed Resource

Microalgae represent another emerging opportunity at the intersection of biofuel production and animal nutrition. Species such as Chlorella vulgaris, Arthrospira platensis (Spirulina), Dunaliella salina, Haematococcus pluvialis and Botryococcus braunii have been explored as biological resources for biofuel production. After lipid extraction, the remaining defatted algal biomass may retain useful nutritional value. The presentation describes research investigating algal biomass as a partial replacement for conventional ingredients such as corn and soybean meal in poultry, swine and ruminant diets. This approach creates an attractive circular pathway: microalgae can be cultivated for biofuel, lipids can be extracted for energy production, and the remaining biomass can potentially be recovered as an animal-feed resource. Further research is nevertheless needed to establish economic feasibility, nutrient availability, safety and appropriate inclusion levels.

Biofuel Co-products and the Circular Bioeconomy

The importance of biofuel co-products extends beyond individual ingredients. Their use can connect the energy, agriculture and livestock sectors in a circular system. Agricultural feedstocks can be processed to produce renewable fuel, while the remaining nutrients can be recovered as livestock feed. Livestock then convert these nutrients into milk, meat and other animal products. Such integration can reduce waste, enlarge the feed-resource base and potentially reduce competition for conventional food-grade feed ingredients. The presentation specifically identifies several benefits of biofuel co-products for the livestock industry, including conversion of energy feedstocks into protein-rich feeds, expansion of available feed resources and enhanced future availability of novel feed ingredients.

Why This Matters for India

India has a large livestock population and a diverse range of crop-based and agro-industrial systems. Feed availability and feed cost remain important determinants of livestock productivity. At the same time, increasing biofuel production can generate a wider range of co-products. This provides an opportunity to develop stronger linkages between agriculture, biofuel production and livestock feeding. Locally available co-products could contribute to feed security when their nutritional value and safety are adequately established. However, the Indian context also requires particular attention to affordability, seasonal availability, processing facilities, transportation, farmer accessibility and quality variation. The most useful co-products will be those that are not only nutritionally valuable but also economically and practically feasible for livestock producers.

Future Research Priorities

The future of biofuel co-products in livestock nutrition will depend on moving from simple utilization to scientifically standardized utilization. Important research priorities include characterization of nutrient composition, digestibility studies, establishment of safe inclusion levels, detection of contaminants, identification of anti-nutritional factors and development of efficient detoxification technologies. There is also a need to standardize co-products within and between processing plants because variation in composition can substantially influence their feeding value. Long-term studies should evaluate animal performance, health, product quality and food safety. Research should also explore indigenous and underutilized feedstocks that are suited to regional production systems. The objective should be to develop reliable feed resources rather than merely find new ways of disposing of industrial residues.

Conclusion

Biofuel production and livestock nutrition can be complementary rather than competing sectors. DDGS, brewers' spent grain, glycerol, oilseed cakes and microalgal biomass demonstrate the wide range of co-products that may contribute to animal feeding.At the same time, their successful use depends on scientific evaluation. Variation in nutrient composition, contamination, high sulphur, oxidized oil, toxic compounds and anti-nutritional factors can limit their application. Therefore, quality control, processing, detoxification and appropriate dietary formulation are essential.The future opportunity lies in creating a circular food–feed–fuel system in which agricultural resources are used efficiently, industrial co-products are recovered safely and nutrients are returned to livestock production.From fuel to feed, a co-product can become much more than a residue—it can become part of the solution for building a more resource-efficient, economical and sustainable livestock sector.

Key Take-home Message

"From fuel to feed, biofuel co-products can turn an industrial residue into a nutritional resource—helping livestock production become more circular, economical and sustainable."

References

1. Benchaar, C., et al. (2013). Effects of increasing levels of corn distillers dried grains with solubles on ruminal fermentation and performance of lactating dairy cattle.
2. Donkin, S. S., & Doane, P. H. (2007). Glycerol as a feed ingredient for livestock.
3. Donkin, S. S., et al. (2009). Feeding value of glycerol as a replacement for corn grain in rations fed to lactating dairy cows.
4. Isaacs, K., et al. (2011). Evaluation of defatted microalgal biomass as a feed ingredient for livestock.
5. Kalscheur, K. F. (2005). Nutritional value and utilization of distillers grains in dairy cattle diets.
6. Kalscheur, K. F., et al. (2011). Composition and feeding value of different distillers grains.
7. Makkar, H. P. S., & Becker, K. (1997). Potential of Jatropha curcas seed cake as a feed ingredient and limitations associated with phorbol esters.
8. Makkar, H. P. S., et al. (2011). Non-conventional oilseed meals as potential livestock feed resources.
9. Tipu, M. A., et al. (2014). Evaluation of palm kernel cake as a replacement for cottonseed cake in growing buffalo calves.
10. US Energy Information Administration (EIA). (2010). Biofuels and agricultural feedstocks: ethanol and biodiesel production.
11. Williams, P. J. (2011). Microalgae as a potential resource for biofuel production and subsequent feed applications.