

Seeing Is Believing: How the RUBY Reporter Gene is Transforming Plant Biotechnology

*Mohammed Shahid

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Plant Biotechnology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding Author's email: mohammedzeshan26@gmail.com

For decades, plant geneticists have faced a bottleneck in tissue culture: after introducing exogenous DNA into cells, verifying which explants are genuinely transformed requires reliable visual indicators known as reporter genes. While traditional options like GUS and GFP have anchored plant biology for years, an innovative visible marker called RUBY is accelerating transgene identification by making transformed tissue visible directly to the naked eye (He et al., 2020).

The Molecular Toolbelt: Major Types of Reporter Genes

Reporter genes encode proteins with measurable, easily detectable phenotypes that allow researchers to track transformation efficiency and spatio-temporal gene expression patterns:

- **Histochemical / Colorimetric Markers (e.g., GUS):** β -glucuronidase hydrolyzes substrates such as X-Gluc to produce an intense, insoluble blue precipitate.
- **Fluorescent Markers (e.g., GFP, RFP):** Fluorescent proteins emit light at specific visible wavelengths when excited by ultraviolet or blue light.
- **Luminescent Markers (e.g., Luciferase):** Produce luminescence via light-emitting enzymatic reactions that require ATP, oxygen, and luciferin substrates.
- **Visual / Pigment Markers (e.g., RUBY):** Synthesize vivid natural pigments directly perceptible under ambient daylight without any chemical or optical intervention (He et al., 2020).

Beyond the Blue: Moving Past Destructive Assays

The long-standing workhorse of transformation confirmation, the GUS assay, carries an inescapable drawback: it is inherently destructive. Staining requires tissue fixation, vacuum infiltration with chemical substrates, incubation, and extensive chlorophyll clearing using ethanol (He et al., 2020). Sacrificing tissue in this manner prevents researchers from tracking continuous developmental stages in the tested plant material. In contrast, modern fluorescent proteins (such as GFP) and visible reporters are non-destructive (He et al., 2020). They enable real-time, non-invasive screening of living callus, emerging shoot buds, and regenerated plantlets without impairing growth or tissue regeneration capacity (Yu et al., 2023).

GFP vs. Visual Markers: The Naked-Eye Advantage

Although GFP preserves tissue viability, it relies heavily on specialized laboratory infrastructure. Imaging requires excitation light sources, barrier filters, darkroom conditions, or expensive fluorescence stereomicroscopy (He et al., 2020). In green vegetative tissues, intense background chlorophyll autofluorescence can also obscure faint fluorescent signals. Visible markers eliminate these operational barriers. By converting biological signals into natural chromatic shifts observable under standard room light or field conditions, visual selection requires no specialized imaging optics, specialized lamps, or image processing equipment (He et al., 2020).

Spotlight on RUBY: The Betalain Biosynthetic Cascade

- Developed by He et al. (2020), RUBY is a synthetic, polycistronic reporter system that converts endogenous tyrosine into betalain—the vivid magenta-red pigment found in red beets (Yu et al., 2023). Instead of relying on a single structural peptide, the RUBY open reading frame links three key biosynthetic enzymes separated by self-cleaving 2A peptides under the control of a single promoter and terminator (He et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2023):
- CYP76AD1: Hydroxylates tyrosine to L-DOPA and oxidizes it to cyclo-DOPA (Yu et al., 2023).
- DODA (DOPA 4,5-dioxygenase): Cleaves L-DOPA to generate betalamic acid (Yu et al., 2023).
- Glucosyltransferase: Facilitates spontaneous condensation and glucosylation into stable, water-soluble betacyanins (He et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2023).

Because every plant cell maintains an endogenous tyrosine pool, successfully transformed cells, callus tissues, and plantlets autonomously turn a vivid, bright red without exogenous substrate application (He et al., 2020).

Recent Applications Across Agronomic Crops

RUBY has been implemented across major agronomic crops and model organisms to accelerate screening:

- Rice (*Oryza sativa*): Visual selection of transgenic embryonic callus lines and positive seed selection without antibiotic selection pressure (He et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2023).
- Maize (*Zea mays*): Tracking transformation events and serving as a visible marker for haploid embryo identification (Wang et al., 2023).
- Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) & Forest Species: Rapid selection of transgenic lines and tracking hairy root transformation events (Yu et al., 2023).
- Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*): Non-destructive selection of transformants and functional fruit-development tracking (Wang et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023).

Limitations to Consider

While highly effective, visible markers like RUBY possess distinct limitations:

- Metabolic Drain: Diverting significant fractions of cellular tyrosine into betalain biosynthesis can induce metabolic competition in actively dividing tissue.
- Phenotypic Interference: Intense crimson pigment accumulation can potentially mimic stress-induced phenotypes or obscure subtle physiological observations.
- Endogenous Pigment Masking: Tissues naturally rich in anthocyanins or betalains make differentiation challenging unless conditional or organ-specific promoters are used.
- Large Vector Construct: Bundling three enzyme sequences together increases cassette size, which may decrease transformation efficiency compared to small, single-gene reporters.

Conclusion

Key Takeaway: Visual reporters dramatically optimize plant biotechnology by converting successful transgene integration into an immediate color cue (He et al., 2020). By allowing researchers to discard non-transgenic calli and seedlings within seconds on open laboratory benches, visible selection saves crucial time, reduces chemical and consumable waste, and removes the need for costly imaging instrumentation (He et al., 2020).

References

1. He, Y., Zhang, T., Sun, H., Zhan, H., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A reporter for noninvasively monitoring gene expression and plant transformation. *Horticulture Research*, 7, 152. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-020-00390-1>
2. Wang, D., Zhong, Y., Feng, B., Qi, X., Yan, T., Liu, J., Guo, S., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., Cheng, D., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Zhang, S., Pan, R., Liu, C., & Chen, S. (2023). The RUBY reporter enables efficient haploid identification in maize and tomato. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 21(9), 1707–1715. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.14071>

3. Yang, H., Zhang, Y., Fu, Q., Jia, X., Zhao, T., Xu, X., Jiang, J., & Li, J. (2023). The DR5 and E8 reporters are suitable systems for studying the application of the Ruby reporter gene in tomato. *Vegetable Research*, 3, 12. <https://doi.org/10.48130/vr-2023-0012>
4. Yu, J., Deng, S., Huang, H., Mo, J., Xu, Z.-F., & Wang, Y. (2023). Exploring the Potential Applications of the Noninvasive Reporter Gene RUBY in Plant Genetic Transformation. *Forests*, 14(3), 637. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f14030637>