Agri Articles
(e-Magazine for Agricultural Articles)
Volume: 06, Issue: 05 (SEP-OCT, 2026)
Available online at http://www.agriarticles.com
©Agri Articles, ISSN: 2582-9882

Climate-Resilient Agriculture: Strategies, Technologies and Sustainable Farming Systems

*Avijit Prakash
Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
*Corresponding Author's email: avijit27prakash@gmail.com

Climate-resilient agriculture seeks to sustain agricultural productivity, protect natural resources and strengthen farm livelihoods under changing climatic conditions. Rising temperatures, irregular rainfall, droughts, floods, heat waves, soil degradation and changing pest and disease pressures threaten crop and livestock production. Resilience can be strengthened through stress-tolerant varieties, crop diversification, soil and water conservation, efficient irrigation, agroforestry, integrated nutrient management, climate information services and sustainable livestock practices. In India, where many farmers depend on monsoon rainfall and operate small holdings, locally appropriate adaptation is particularly important. Research, extension, farmer participation, finance and supportive institutions are required to make these practices accessible and effective. This article reviews the main climate risks, practical adaptation strategies, digital tools, policy support and future priorities for resilient and sustainable farming.

Keywords: Climate-resilient agriculture; climate change; sustainable farming; drought tolerance; climate-smart agriculture; water management; agroforestry; food security.

Introduction

Agriculture depends strongly on temperature, rainfall, humidity, solar radiation and the availability of soil and water resources. These factors influence seed germination, flowering, pollination, grain filling, animal health, pest development and the quality of harvested produce. Climate change is increasing the challenge of managing these interacting factors through rising temperatures, changing rainfall patterns and more frequent or intense extreme events in many regions. The effects extend beyond crop yields. Weather-related losses can reduce farm income, raise production costs, interrupt rural employment, affect food prices and weaken household food security. Farmers with limited irrigation, savings, insurance or access to timely advice may find it especially difficult to recover from repeated losses. Climate-resilient agriculture therefore combines improved agronomy with resource conservation, risk planning, technology, local knowledge and institutional support. The objective is not simply to protect one crop during one difficult season. It is to maintain productive capacity over time, reduce avoidable losses, conserve biodiversity and soil and water resources, and strengthen the ability of farms and communities to prepare for, withstand and recover from climatic shocks.

Concept and Principles of Climate Resilience

Climate resilience is the capacity of a system to anticipate, absorb, withstand, recover from and adapt to disturbances while maintaining essential functions. In agriculture, it includes the resilience of crops, livestock, soils, water supplies, farm incomes, infrastructure and food value chains. It must address both sudden shocks—such as floods, cyclones, hailstorms and heat waves—and gradual changes, including warming, shifting rainfall, groundwater depletion and salinity.

Five principles guide climate-resilient agriculture. First, diversification reduces reliance on a single crop, variety, enterprise or income source. Second, resource conservation protects soil structure, organic matter and water supplies. Third, risk management combines weather information, contingency plans, insurance and preparedness. Fourth, adaptive management allows sowing dates, varieties, irrigation and other decisions to change with conditions. Fifth, social and economic resilience depends on access to knowledge, credit, markets, storage, extension services and collective institutions.

Climate-resilient agriculture overlaps with climate-smart agriculture. The latter framework generally seeks to increase sustainable productivity and incomes, strengthen adaptation, and reduce or remove greenhouse gas emissions where feasible. The balance among these objectives depends on local environmental conditions, farming systems and farmers' priorities.

Major Climate Risks in Agriculture

Temperature and heat stress

High temperatures can disrupt photosynthesis, flowering, pollination and grain filling. Wheat may be particularly vulnerable to terminal heat, while rice and horticultural crops can suffer when high temperatures coincide with sensitive reproductive stages. Heat also increases evaporative demand and crop water requirements. Livestock may experience reduced feed intake, growth, fertility and milk production; poultry can be especially vulnerable during severe heat episodes.

Rainfall variability, drought and flooding

Delayed monsoon onset can postpone sowing, while early withdrawal or long dry spells can expose crops to moisture stress. Intense rainfall can cause erosion, nutrient loss, lodging, waterlogging and delayed harvesting. Drought reduces establishment, root growth, nutrient uptake and reproductive development. Flooding can submerge crops, damage infrastructure and reduce oxygen in the root zone. Effective responses must reflect the timing, duration and severity of each event, rather than relying only on seasonal rainfall totals.



Figure 1. Flooding and waterlogging can damage crops and agricultural infrastructure

Soil degradation, pests and social vulnerability

Erosion, compaction, salinity, declining organic matter and nutrient imbalance weaken the capacity of soils to retain water and support crops. Changing temperature and humidity can also alter pest survival, disease development and the geographic distribution of crop threats. Vulnerability is shaped by more than weather: farm size, income, irrigation access, education, credit, market connections and access to information determine how effectively households can respond.

Assessing Climate Risk and Planning Adaptation

Climate-risk assessment begins by identifying locally important hazards using historical weather records, crop-loss information, soil surveys, farmer experience and agricultural statistics. It then considers exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity. Exposure describes the extent to which a farm experiences a hazard; sensitivity describes how strongly it is affected; and adaptive capacity reflects its ability to prepare, respond and recover. Geographic information systems, satellite imagery, remote sensing, weather stations, soil maps and digital databases can help identify drought-prone areas, flood exposure, vegetation stress, soil moisture conditions and groundwater pressure. These data are most useful when combined with field observations and local crop calendars. District agricultural contingency plans can guide changes in crops, varieties, sowing dates and management when monsoon onset is delayed or rainfall fails. In India, the National Innovations on Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) programme supports climate-related research, technology demonstrations and capacity building. Government reporting in March 2026 described assessments across 651 predominantly agricultural districts, with 310 identified as vulnerable. Such assessments underline the importance of place-specific plans instead of applying one recommendation to all regions.

Climate-Resilient Crop Production

Stress-tolerant varieties and crop calendars

Drought-, heat-, flood- and salinity-tolerant varieties can help stabilize production when selected for the local environment. Useful traits may include deep roots, early maturity, heat tolerance during flowering, flood tolerance and resistance to locally important pests and diseases. No variety is best under every stress, so selection should consider soil, season length, irrigation, market demand and local recommendations. Adjusting sowing dates can help crops avoid heat or moisture stress during sensitive growth stages. Timely wheat sowing, for example, may reduce exposure to terminal heat in suitable production systems. Decisions should also consider soil moisture, the preceding crop, irrigation availability and reliable local forecasts.

Diversification and contingency cropping

Growing a combination of cereals, pulses, oilseeds, fodder and suitable horticultural crops spreads production risk. Legumes can contribute to biological nitrogen fixation, while rotations may interrupt some pest and disease cycles. Intercropping can diversify harvests, but additional crops should not increase pressure on scarce water.



Figure 2. Crop diversification and tree-based farming can help spread production risk.

Contingency cropping means changing the crop or variety when expected weather does not occur. Following delayed rainfall, a farmer may choose a short-duration crop or a locally recommended alternative. Where the remaining season is limited, short-duration pulses or fodder may be more appropriate than a long-duration crop. These decisions depend on the date of rainfall failure, remaining growing period, soil moisture and seed availability. Horticultural crops can diversify income and diets, but they may require more capital, labour, irrigation and reliable markets. Their climate-resilience benefits should be assessed alongside production costs, price risks and post-harvest requirements.

Soil and Water Conservation

Conservation agriculture, mulching and soil organic matter

Conservation agriculture commonly combines reduced soil disturbance, soil cover and crop diversification. Where locally appropriate, reduced or zero tillage, residue retention, crop rotation and integrated weed management can help reduce erosion and conserve moisture. Results vary with soil type, weed pressure, machinery, residue availability and management skills. Mulching with crop residues or other suitable materials reduces direct soil exposure, may moderate soil temperature, suppress some weeds and limit evaporation. Residues also contribute organic matter as they decompose, although they may be needed for livestock feed or other household uses. Farmyard manure, compost, green manure and cover crops can support soil structure, nutrient cycling and water retention.

Rainwater harvesting and irrigation

Farm ponds, field bunds, contour bunds, check dams, recharge structures and other harvesting systems can store rainfall or improve infiltration. Their design should reflect rainfall, slope, soil permeability, catchment size, groundwater conditions and maintenance capacity. Watershed-level planning is important because water flows across farm boundaries. Drip irrigation delivers water near the root zone, while sprinkler systems distribute it over the crop or soil surface. Both require appropriate design and maintenance. Irrigation scheduling should consider soil moisture, crop growth stage, weather forecasts and evapotranspiration. Repairing leaks, avoiding excessive watering and monitoring groundwater extraction are as important as installing efficient equipment. Watershed management can combine water harvesting, vegetation restoration, erosion control and community participation.



Figure 3. Drought and heat stress highlight the need for climate-adapted crop and water management

Nutrient Management, Agroforestry and Biodiversity

Climate-resilient nutrient management matches nutrient supply to crop demand while reducing avoidable losses. Soil testing, balanced fertilization, split nitrogen application and suitable fertilizer placement can improve nutrient-use efficiency. Heavy rainfall may increase leaching and runoff, whereas drought can limit nutrient uptake, so timing should account for weather,

soil moisture and crop stage. Biofertilizers containing beneficial microorganisms can support biological nitrogen fixation or nutrient mobilization when the organism is compatible with the crop and is stored and applied correctly. They are components of integrated nutrient management, not automatic replacements for all fertilizer needs. Excessive nitrogen application can also contribute to nitrous oxide emissions; better nutrient matching can benefit both efficiency and environmental performance. Agroforestry integrates trees with crops or livestock and may provide shade, fodder, fruit, fuelwood, timber, erosion control and carbon storage. Shelterbelts can reduce wind speed and protect exposed land. Species and spacing should be chosen carefully to avoid excessive competition for water, light and nutrients. Crop rotations, mixed cropping, flowering field margins and protection of beneficial organisms can support biodiversity and ecosystem functions.

Livestock, Integrated Farming and Horticulture

Livestock resilience requires clean drinking water, shade, ventilation, suitable housing, careful feeding schedules and monitoring for heat stress. Fodder planning can include locally suitable crops and the conservation of feed as hay or silage. Breed selection should consider local climate, feed availability, disease pressure, fertility and production goals. Integrated farming combines complementary activities such as crops, dairy, poultry, fish, horticulture and agroforestry. Crop residues may be used as feed, while manure can contribute to nutrient recycling. Such integration can diversify income, but it must be matched to labour, water, capital, technical knowledge and market access. Greenhouses, polyhouses and shade-net structures can protect selected horticultural crops from some weather hazards, but they require investment and careful management. In hot areas, poor ventilation may create damaging temperatures. Structure design, shading, cooling, crop choice, water quality and operating costs should be assessed before investment. Drip irrigation, fertigation, resistant planting material, pest monitoring, hygienic handling, suitable storage and improved transport can reduce production and post-harvest risks.

Digital Tools and Climate Information

Weather forecasts and agricultural advisories can guide sowing, irrigation, fertilizer application, pesticide use, harvesting and livestock care. Short-range forecasts support immediate operations, while seasonal forecasts can inform broader planning. Forecasts are uncertain and should be interpreted with local field observations; advisories are most useful when they translate weather information into practical, crop-specific actions. Internet of Things sensors can monitor soil moisture, temperature, humidity, rainfall and water levels. Satellite imagery and remote sensing can help assess vegetation condition, surface temperature, crop development, drought and flood impacts. Artificial intelligence may support yield forecasting, pest alerts and irrigation scheduling, but reliable data, local validation and clear communication of uncertainty are essential. Digital systems should complement farmers' experience and professional advice.



Figure 4. Field monitoring and weather sensors support timely, climate-informed farm decisions

Digital inclusion matters: weak connectivity, equipment costs, language barriers and limited training can prevent adoption. Affordable services, local-language messages, extension support and non-digital access routes help ensure that smallholders can benefit.

Mitigation, Institutions and Economic Inclusion

Adaptation reduces harm from climate impacts, while mitigation reduces greenhouse gas emissions or increases their removal. Some practices contribute to both: agroforestry can store carbon and modify the microclimate, while efficient nutrient management can reduce losses. Improved rice water management, feed and manure management, and balanced fertilizer use may reduce emissions in suitable systems. Adaptation must avoid maladaptation. Expanding irrigation through unsustainable groundwater extraction, investing in expensive technology without reliable markets, or planting trees that compete excessively for water can create new vulnerabilities. Long-term economic, social and environmental consequences should be considered. In India, ICAR research institutions, agricultural universities, Krishi Vigyan Kendras, meteorological services, extension agencies, local governments and farmer organizations can support climate-resilient practices. NICRA provides a framework for research, technology demonstration and capacity building. Weather services and contingency plans help farmers prepare for disrupted seasons. Crop insurance, accessible credit, savings and disaster assistance can support recovery, but financial protection should complement—not replace—preventive action. Smallholders may benefit from farmer producer organizations, cooperatives and self-help groups that share equipment, organize training, aggregate produce and improve market access. Programmes should also address unequal access to land, finance, information and decision-making, including barriers experienced by women and other resource-constrained groups.

Barriers, Future Priorities and Recommendations

Common barriers include high initial costs, limited technical knowledge, inadequate storage and connectivity, uncertain forecasts and weak coordination among institutions. Responses include affordable credit, shared equipment services, farmer field schools, locally tested demonstrations, stronger rural infrastructure and coordinated district action plans. Monitoring should measure not only adoption but also yield stability, water productivity, soil condition, farm income, input costs and recovery after extreme events. Future research should develop crop varieties tolerant of heat, drought, flooding and salinity while maintaining quality and market acceptability. Artificial intelligence and remote sensing may improve early warning and crop monitoring if tools are locally validated and affordable. Nature-based solutions-including agroforestry, soil restoration, watershed management and biodiversity conservation-require testing across different climates and farm systems. Resilient value chains also need improved storage, processing, cold chains and diversified markets. Participatory research is essential because farmers hold practical knowledge about local soils, weather, crop performance and production risks. Combining this knowledge with scientific evidence can produce solutions that are technically sound, affordable and socially appropriate.

Conclusion

Climate-resilient agriculture is essential for sustaining food production, protecting farm livelihoods and conserving natural resources under changing climatic conditions. Its foundations include stress-tolerant varieties, crop diversification, soil and water conservation, efficient irrigation, integrated nutrient management, agroforestry, resilient livestock systems, climate information and effective institutions. No single technology can address every risk; strategies must be selected according to local climate, soils, water availability, farm resources and market conditions. In India, coordinated efforts by research institutions, extension services, government programmes and farming communities can improve preparedness and recovery. Investment in locally appropriate technologies, reliable information, farmer training, inclusive finance and long-term monitoring can help farming systems withstand climate shocks while supporting sustainable productivity and food security.

References

1. Aggarwal, P. K. (2008). Global climate change and Indian agriculture: Impacts, adaptation and mitigation. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 78(11). https://epubs.icar.org.in/index.php/IJAgS/article/view/10332
2. Abrol, I. P., & Sangar, S. (2006). Sustaining Indian agriculture—Conservation agriculture the way forward. Current Science, 91(8), 1020–1025.
3. Baraj, B., Mishra, M., Sudarsan, D., da Silva, R. M., & Santos, C. A. G. (2024). Climate change and resilience, adaptation, and sustainability of agriculture in India: A bibliometric review. Heliyon, 10(8), e29586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29586
4. Chaudhari, S. K. (2023). Climate resilient farming: India initiatives. Indian Farming, 73(6), 17–20. https://epubs.icar.org.in/index.php/IndFarm/article/view/138315
5. Krishnan, R., Sanjay, J., Gnanaseelan, C., Mujumdar, M., Kulkarni, A., & Chakraborty, S. (Eds.). (2020). Assessment of climate change over the Indian region: A report of the Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES), Government of India. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4327-2
6. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. (2021). India: Third national communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Government of India. https://moef.gov.in/
7. Government of India. (2008). National action plan on climate change. Prime Minister's Council on Climate Change. https://moef.gov.in/
8. Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture. (2014). National mission for sustainable agriculture: Operational guidelines. Government of India. https://agricoop.nic.in/
9. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (2023). Annual report 2022–2023: Climate resilient agriculture. ICAR. https://icar.org.in/
10. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (2024). Annual report 2023–2024: Climate resilient agriculture. ICAR. https://icar.org.in/
11. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (2025). Annual report 2024–2025: Climate resilient agriculture. ICAR. https://icar.org.in/
12. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. (n.d.). National Innovations on Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA). https://icar.gov.in/
13. Nivetha, S., & Mohanraj, K. (2026). Climate change adaptation in India: A systematic review of adaptation strategies, factors, impacts, barriers, and future research directions. Frontiers in Climate, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2026.1792955
14. Praveen, K. V., Suresh, A., Reddy, A. A., & Singh, D. R. (2018). Risks and adaptation strategies in rainfed agriculture in India: An analysis. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 88(6), 958–963. https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v88i6.80654
15. Rao, C. A. R., Raju, B. M. K., Islam, A., Rao, A. V. M. S., Rao, K. V., Gajjala, R. C., Ramaraju, N. K., Matyam, P., Kotha, S. R., Bhaskar, S., Chaudhari, S. K., Singh, V. K., Srinivas, K., Rao, M. S., Samuel, J., Sambasiva, G., & Swapna, N. (2025). Climate change risk assessment for adaptation planning in Indian agriculture. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 30(3), Article 20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-025-10208-x
16. Reddy, P. P. (2015). Climate resilient agriculture for ensuring food security. Springer.
17. Sahoo, S., Singha, C., Govind, A., & Moghimi, A. (2025). Review of climate-resilient agriculture for ensuring food security: Sustainability opportunities and challenges of India. Environmental and Sustainability Indicators, 25, 100544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2024.100544
18. Srinivasarao, C., Shanker, A., & Chander, C. (Eds.). (2018). Climate resilient agriculture: Strategies and perspectives. IntechOpen.
19. Viswanathan, P. K., Kavya, K., & Bahinipati, C. S. (2020). Global patterns of climate-resilient agriculture: A review of studies and imperatives for empirical research in India. Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, 25(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/0972266120966211

20. Bahinipati, C. S., et al. (2022). Climate change and Indian agriculture: A systematic review of farmers' perception, adaptation, and transformation. Environmental Challenges, 8, 100543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100543
21. Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. (2023). Agricultural statistics at a glance 2023. Government of India. https://agriwelfare.gov.in/
22. India Meteorological Department. (2024). Annual climate summary 2023: India. Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India. https://mausam.imd.gov.in/
23. Ministry of Earth Sciences. (2020). Assessment of climate change over the Indian region. Government of India. https://moes.gov.in/
24. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2022). Climate change and Indian agriculture: Risk, resilience and adaptation. NABARD. https://www.nabard.org/
25. National Institution for Transforming India. (2018). Composite water management index. NITI Aayog. https://www.niti.gov.in/
26. National Rainfed Area Authority. (2012). Strategic framework for climate change adaptation in agriculture. Government of India.
27. Rao, K. V., et al. (2019). Climate risk and vulnerability assessment for Indian agriculture: District-level approaches and adaptation planning. Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, ICAR.
28. Singh, R. P., Prasad, P. V. V., & Reddy, K. R. (2011). Impacts of changing climate and climate variability on seed production and seed industry. Advances in Agronomy, 111, 1–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385538-1.00001-5
29. Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Science, 304(5677), 1623–1627. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396
30. National Disaster Management Authority. (2019). National disaster management plan. Government of India. https://ndma.gov.in/
31. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. (2020). Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana: Operational guidelines. Government of India. https://pmfby.gov.in/
32. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare. (2022). Per Drop More Crop: Guidelines and implementation framework. Government of India. https://pmksy.gov.in/
33. Ministry of Rural Development. (2022). Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act: Water conservation and natural resource management works. Government of India. https://nrega.nic.in/
34. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2013). Climate-smart agriculture sourcebook. FAO. https://www.fao.org/4/i3325e/i3325e.
35. Campbell, B. M., Thornton, P., Zougmoré, R., van Asten, P., & Lipper, L. (2014). Sustainable intensification: What is its role in climate-smart agriculture? Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 8, 39–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2014.07.002
36. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844
37. Lipper, L., Thornton, P., Campbell, B. M., Baedeker, T., Braimoh, A., Bwalya, M., Caron, P., Cattaneo, A., Garrity, D., Henry, K., Hottle, R., Jackson, L., Jarvis, A., Kossam, F., Mann, W., McCarthy, N., Meybeck, A., Neufeldt, H., Remington, T., ... Torquebiau, E. F. (2014). Climate-smart agriculture for food security. Nature Climate Change, 4, 1068–1072. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2437
38. Lobell, D. B., Schlenker, W., & Costa-Roberts, J. (2011). Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. Science, 333(6042), 616–620. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204531
39. Reidsma, P., Ewert, F., Lansink, A. O., & Leemans, R. (2010). Adaptation to climate change and climate variability in European agriculture: The importance of farm-level responses. European Journal of Agronomy, 32(1), 91–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2009.06.003

40. Rosenzweig, C., Elliott, J., Deryng, D., Ruane, A. C., Müller, C., Arneth, A., Boote, K. J., Folberth, C., Glotter, M., Khabarov, N., Neumann, K., Piontek, F., Pugh, T. A. M., Schmid, E., Stehfest, E., Yang, H., & Jones, J. W. (2014). Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(9), 3268–3273. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222463110